SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_39621**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Feasibility and economic risk of programmed pruning cycle in Arabica coffee**
Type of Article:	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
Abstract lack some issues eg data sources and some methods of analysis applied **Labels of the graph must be in English** **Experimental setup is not clear,** **Words: material and methods / experimental details / methodology may have different meaning based on different context or nature of study. Authors have to choose one and not just leaving the reader to choose** **Table 2 does not provide any meaning and any importance as far as comparative analysis is concerned. For example what is main labour in the context of coffee pruning systems?** **How these variables in table 2 contribute to affect the variation in economic viability of the pruning systems? Does the type of pruning system change the rate of liming, fertilizers application,** **What does soil analysis contribute in the context of this comparative analysis?** **Table must account variables which contribute or attributable to differences on the systems** **Which Monte Carlo Method was applied?** **You need to describe it and how is more relevant than other methods capable to generate CDF charts eg Latin Hypercube Sampling** **SN 164-175, explanations lack logical flow and meaning** **SN 251-262 must shift to methodology and results and discussion** **Conclusion lack good flow as in the discussion section and is not comparative.** **The paper still lacks recommendations**	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Rogers Andrew***
Department, University & Country	***Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania***