



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39576
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE PESTICIDES USAGE IN SELECTED LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS IN OYO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Most of the information collected is not included in this manuscript (See the questionnaires). The data collection covered five major areas i.e herbicides, insecticides, fertilizers, crop diseases and storage. It could be very useful if the other related information could be combined in this study. Nevertheless, make sure you attach the questionnaires that are related to only this study. The data analysis should consider the interaction of some factors and practices among the farmers and between the different study areas, for example, how education might have influenced the compliance to the recommended dose or how farm size or type of crop may have affected the type of pesticide to use etc. There is a need to re-analyse the data and to test for statistical significance of different factors.	
Minor REVISION comments	See the comments given in the PDF document Data presentation needs to be easily visualized. Not all the data need to be presented in tables. To avoid too much tables, some data may just be explained within a paragraph but also the use of graphs like bar chart or pie chart will be more appealing to the readers No enough supporting literatures in the discussion section	
Optional/General comments	It is a good survey but there is a need to re-analyse the data to test for the statistical significance of the different variables/ factors for more useful information	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prisila A. Mkenda
Department, University & Country	Department of Biosciences, Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania