



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38569
Title of the Manuscript:	Responses of Castor Beans Hybrids Produced by the Conventional Method and by the Cryptic Hybrid Method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Overall, the rationale and scientific methods used were well explained and good but i will advise that this manuscript be given to English editor to improve its quality more. Materials and Methods Since the hybrids were grown at different planting years, A Bartlett's homogeneity test need to be done to show if there is common variance before the data can be pooled together for joint analysis	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Results and Discussion When there is significant difference between or among treatments, the science and logic behind it has to been well explained in line with what other researchers have done. This has not been well explained.5 All other comments were in the manuscripts. The authors should attend to it all in order to improve the manuscript	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akinnuoye-Adelabu Dolapo
Department, University & Country	Department of Zoology and Entomology, University of The Free State, South Africa