



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_37891
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Different Doses of Calcium Nitrate Applications on some Phytonutrient Element Contents of Leafy Parsley (<i>Petroselinum crispum</i> (Mill.) Plant
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Conclusion in Abstract so be more specific 2. Most citations in Introduction are not related to the topic of the experiment. Please add new citation related to the topic and omit the ones which are not related. Please, more specific to the calcium nitrate and leafy parsley. 3. The objective of the experiment is unclear. Please rewrite. 4. The material method should be more comprehensive to be reproducible. Replication of the treatment is not stated clearly; Weight of soil used in the experiment is also not defined clearly. Please rewrite the material and method. 5. The experiment only have 4 treatments, therefore, the validity to use Anova analysis is questionable. 6. It is extremely short of result and discussion. The author has only shortly described the data without any discussion and comparison to similar experiment. The important finding was not explored at all. 7. The conclusion is poorly written.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	1. The manuscript is poorly written and the author did not explain clearly what the importance of the experiment and the findings. 2. If the manuscript will be published, it should be totally repaired.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zainal Mukhtar
Department, University & Country	Department of Soil Science, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia