



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_37794
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Different Organic Fertilizers Application on Soil Fertility Improvement, Growth and Fruit yield parameters of Pineapple (Ananas Comosus L)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Row 127- which is the applied technology for poultry and pigs? Is important because depending by technology can increase ratio C:N or not! Rows 137-139 The authors describe the brief method of nutrient determination, but what was the method of analysis for determination of carbon ? Row 147. Table 2. The values obtained in fertilizers and nutrients are expressed as a percentage of what? Dry matter, organic substance or raw material? Amount expressed in mg/Kg how was it calculated? Table values are high considering that: each of the manure categories contains an impressive amount of water and extreme reduced % of nitrogen., the values are below 1, less in chickens where nitrogen is 1.63% (Man 1999). Total nitrogen may have the values specified in Table 2, if expressed as a percentage of total solid matter. Maybe it should rethink the table! Rows 155-157- what amount of fertilizer was applied on the experimental surface if per hectare are 6 tons administered? Rows 210-212. Is any explanation for this decrease? Rows 229-230 "The soils treated with NPK 15-15-15 had the highest values of soil N, P, K and leas contents of soil pH" Is not correct! because pH show the reaction of the soil! The soils treated with NPK 15-15-15 had the highest values of soil in minerale: N, P, K and the lowest value of pH. Row 237- "The soil K,Ca, Mg and pH increased by 31.5, 24, 38 and 5% compared to pig manure treatment". Which soil? Row 253: <i>Girth</i> it is use especially in other context: the measurement around the middle of something, especially a person's waist or: a band attached to a saddle, used to secure it on a horse by being fastened around its belly. So, more appropriate would be to use the term of: circumference	
Optional/General comments	The work is well structured, documented, with insignificant errors. Some minor changes must be made after the manuscript	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>El Mahdy Cristina</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Biotechnology, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, România</i>