SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_37686**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Agro-morphological diversity of six peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) breeding lines from three geographical areas**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Entire manuscript needs to be rewritten. It looks as though manuscript is a first draft. Authors are advised to refer any peer reviewed articles from reputed journals available online and finalise their manuscript. Introduction is not giving sufficient background information about the study conducted. All the traits used for the study may be abbreviated as followed by other workers so that it is easy for the readers to understand. Correlation table needs to be modified. W.r.t PCA lot of publications are available online and can be written accordingly. More clarity is required in the discussion so that it is easy for the readers to understand. Some of the suggestions are mentioned in the manuscript for the authors.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Ajay BC***
Department, University & Country	***India***