



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_33245
Title of the Manuscript:	Differential Response of Four Sugarcane (<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> L.) varieties to NPK 20:10:10 Fertilizer in South western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Rewrite the title to reflect the moisture regime, rainfed and irrigation. Rewrite the methodology section and clearly state how you determined the sugarcane quantity and quality parameters. Redraw the graphs and insert the label axis including the error bars to show statistical levels Sugarcane weights were less than 10 t/ha. Is it really economical to cultivate sugarcane in the region of study? See comments in the text shown in track changes and address appropriately Clearly state the cropping cycle e.g. plant crop or ratoon	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Include sub sections e.g. study site, experimental description, measured parameters and statistical analysis Replace the old literature < 1999 with literature > 2000 Include section on acknowledgements State in the methodology the reason for using compound fertilizer NPK 20:10:10 and not any other	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Insert weather data during the study period	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Non
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	Non
If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	Non

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

I have no competing interest as a reviewer

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jacob Omondi Omollo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Crop Development, Sugar Research Institute, Kenya</i>