SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_31321**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ANALYSIS OF FARM PRODUCTIVITY IN INTEGRATED TREE CROPPING SYSTEM IN SOUTHWESTERN NIGERIA.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The author(s) should revise the interpretation style of regression analysis, and compare the results with previous studies. Why improved seed and fertilizer have significantly negative correlation with the productivity? They should promote the productivity. 2. What is the main hypothesis to be tested in the regression? What are the expected signs of each independent variable? The signs should be expected based on previous studies or theories in the methodology section. 3. The author(s) should make this study a little bit different from the previous studies by summarizing well the relevant literature. By this way, you can make a contribution to the field, in addition to a specific case (Nigeria).	
Minor REVISION comments	4. The abstract should be summarized as shorter as possible in a concrete way. Figures in the abstract might not be needed. The author(s) should summarize it without figures. 5. The author(s) should identify more concretely the objective of the study.	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	In general, the paper is very interesting in the field of agricultural economics, as well as economic development. It analyzes farm productivity measured by the total factor productivity in Nigeria, focusing mainly on factors determining the productivity using a simple regression analysis. Although the methodology used in the analysis is simple, the author(s) applies it properly, producing good results. However, i have some above-mentioned comments to improve the paper.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Kimty Seng***
Department, University & Country	***The Royal School of Administration of Cambodia, Waseda University, Japan***