



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_27798
Title of the Manuscript:	VARIETAL PERFORMANCE OF JUTE MALLOW AS AFFECTED BY DIFFERENT APPLICATION RATE OF COW DUNG MANURE IN AN ULTISOL, KOGI STATE, NIGERIA (Corchorus olitorius)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract: there is too much information on materials and methodology than the expected results. This must be summarised and focus much on the significant results and their practical implications. Introduction: This section lacks the problem statement, justification and relevant literature. There is nothing on cow dung and its beneficial effects on plant growth and yields. Results and discussion: results were presented in a professional manner, however, the discussion section is seriously lacking. There are lots of variables that were not discussed at all. This section must be revised and beefed-up in order to improve the standard of the manuscript. Conclusion: I believe that this section will change provided that the author re do the discussion section correctly. References: there are some few but reasonably mistakes that need tp be rectified.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	My suggestion is that the paper be sent back to the author for corrections as suggested on the attached text.	
---	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ndamuleleni Romeo Murovhi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Agricultural Research Council, South Africa</i>