



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_24311
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Genotype x Environment Interaction and Stability of grain yield and related yield components in Pearl Millet (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R.Br.) genotypes
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are several things that need to be done. 1) Compare the means for each of the parameters using Tukey's test or another. 2) Show correlations between each of the parameters measured and at least yield. 3) Soften the conclusion: tests and comparisons for one season with one planting are unlikely to reveal overall 'best' varieties for wide use! It's OK to suggest one may be better at one location and another at the other. 4) If the soils are in fact different, that should show up in the table which indicates they are the same.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Lots of "English" use suggestions are included on the returned PDF, along with a few queries	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Clint Magill
Department, University & Country	Plant Pathology & Microbiology, TAMU, College Station, USA