

Original Research Article

Title: Initial efficiency of commonly used practices to control soil, runoff and nutrient losses from maize and banana based systems in the Lake Kivu Basin.

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to determine the initial efficiency of commonly used practices to control soil erosion from Maize and Banana based cropping systems in Lwiro micro catchment in the Lake Kivu basin. Soil, runoff and nutrient losses were determined using runoff plot approach. Instrumentalised runoff plots of 2X15m were installed on maize intercropped with beans and banana gardens. Two soil erosion management practices, namely; *Tithonia* and contour bunds were tested on Maize intercrop with Beans and mulch for Banana. The experiment included a control practice for each crop. Each treatment and control was replicated four times. Runoff and soil loss were estimated for each rainfall event and aggregated on seasonal basis. Nutrient (N, P and K) losses were estimated per season. Results of the long and short rains of the first year of experimentation show that soil and runoff losses did not significantly change with practices and seasons ($P>0.05$) for both banana and maize based systems. Soil and runoff losses ranged from 15.73 to 32.93 t/ha, and from 168.14 to 322.17 m³; respectively. Nutrient losses varied with practices and seasons ($P<0.05$) and ranged from 54.68 to 112.34 Kg/ha, 87.7 to 409.4 Kg/ha; 24.5 to 94.22 Kg/ha for K, N and P; respectively. Soil and runoff losses ranged from 8.99 to 20.6 t/ha, and from 85 to 152 m³; respectively. Only K losses changed significantly with season ($P<0.05$) and ranged from 17.8 to 53.9 Kg/ha under Banana cropping system.

Key words: Land degradation, Lake Kivu Basin, pollution loading, Bukavu, D.R. Congo

INTRODUCTION

Soil erosion is widespread and varies in magnitude from place to place depending on the land-use system, population pressure, community wealth, management, relief, and vulnerability of the soil to climate aggressivity (Majaliwa *et al.*, 2010). In DRC, and particularly in Kivu mountain areas, soil erosion is believed to be one of the major processes of soil degradation (Majaliwa *et*

al., 2010). The latter is reported to have reached catastrophic proportions on agricultural lands in the Kivu mountainous region (Majaliwa *et al.*, 2010; Tenywa *et al.*, 2011).

Subsequently, the region is threatened by famine and food insecurity, it is reported that 70% of the population is affected by food insecurity (Ulimwengu *et al.*, 2009). Yet, the region has a great agricultural potential. Under rain-fed conditions, DRC can feed more than two billion people and 50% of its agricultural potential is located in the great Kivu region (Sud-Kivu, Maniema and Nord-Kivu). This situation is likely to exacerbate and reinforce the cycle of poverty-poor management- natural resources degradation (GTZ, 1995). On the other hand, soil erosion in the Kivu mountain region contribute to increased pollution loading into Lake Kivu, one of the deadly Lakes in the world. The Lake has reached critical concentration of CH₄ and CO₂ which can lead to an increased instability of the Lake which can trigger an explosion if any action is not taken to control the flow of carbon into the water bodies (Cohen *et al.*, 1993; Donohue, Verheyen & Irvine, 2003). Lake Kivu is critical for the survival of millions of people around its basin and beyond (Alin *et al.*, 2002). It is a biodiversity hotspot area (Halbwachs *et al.*, 2002), and a source of protein and income for communities around it.

Although soil erosion is considered to be a major cause of land degradation in Kivu mountain region, very few studies have been conducted in the region to determine its magnitude and evaluate practices currently used for its control. Limited studies were conducted during the colonial time and in the 80s (Ischebeck *et al.*, 1984), and in Rwanda (Lewis, 1988; Moeyerson *et al.*, 1989; 2004). Acceptability, maintenance and replicability of some of these technologies has been challenging in the Kivu. Farmers' poverty and a long time span return of these technologies being the most determining factors. For many farmers adoption and continuous investment in these practices also depend on their short time performance on yield (De Graaff *et al.*, 2008)

which to some extent associated with their efficiency to control soil and nutrient losses, and enhance moisture conservation in the soil (Majaliwa *et al.*, in press). This study intended to determine the initial efficiency of commonly used practices to control soil erosion from Maize and Banana based cropping systems in Lwiro micro catchment in the eastern D.R. Congo side of the Lake Kivu basin.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Description of the study site

This study was conducted in the River Lwiro micro-catchment within Lake Kivu Basin. The River Lwiro is located on the eastern flank of Lake Kivu between latitudes 2°15' and 2°30' S and longitudes 28°45' and 28°55' E. Its headwaters are in the Kahuzi-Biega National Park mountain region; at an altitude of 2000 m. The 84 km² river basin is bordered on the east by Lake Kivu and on the west by the Kahuzi mountain forest (Figure 1). This watershed of Lwiro river, the principal tributary of the Lake Kivu, covered 4 localities namely Irhambi/Katana, Bugorhe, Luhihi and Bushumba in the territory of Kabare, province of South-Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo. Annual rainfall varies between 1134 mm and 1689 mm with an average of 1411 mm. The rainfall is bimodal with a dry season from June and July. The soil comprises of clay and rich volcanic soil, which is easily eroded. The geological composition is of Precambrian metamorphoses sediments (metamorphic rocks) and Preterozoic platform sediments (Cahen and Lepersonne, 1967). Verhaeghe (1964) describes metamorphic limestone and numerous travertines along Lake Kivu and Lake Edward. Carbonates for the production of cement are also found north and north-west of Lake Kivu.




Figure 1: Lwiro micro-catchment and the main rivers, Lake Kivu basin

The micro-catchment is dominated by small scale farming, forest and built-up area. Forest cover is more located in the mountainous area and is part of the Kahuzi Biega National Park. The geology of Lake Kivu has been described by Ilunga (1991) and Moeyersons *et al.* (2004). It is a rolling landscape of convex and elongated hills, developed on the weathered lavas. In the Bukavu area, folded and faulted Precambrian strata are covered by thick Tertiary and Quaternary lava flows. The oldest series, not present at Bukavu itself, predates local rifting and is dated between 7 and 10 millions of years (Pasteels *et al.*, 1989). The middle and upper series are present at Bukavu. The middle series, of Mio-Pliocene age (Kampunzu *et al.*, 1983; Pasteels *et al.*, 1989), is intimately related to the rift faults. The upper series started during the Pleistocene and continued to the last century. The chemical composition of these three series evolves from sub-alkaline over moderately alkaline to strongly alkaline (Pouclet, 1980). Every lava series consists of many individual flows, separated in time (UNESCO, 2002). The complex geometry

of the present lava layers resulted from successive rifting and eruptive episodes. Weathering and erosion, as well as normal faulting, occurred between successive lava flow series, explaining the occurrence of palaeo-relief, contact metamorphism, smectite layers, probably Vertic palaeosoils, and clastic deposits having an alluvial and colluvial origin.

3.2 Design, treatments and replications

Soil, runoff and nutrient losses were determined using runoff plot approach. A total of 12 Plots measuring 2X15 m were established on a farmer' garden at "Centre de Recherche en Science Naturelles" (CRSN-Lwiro) used for growing maize and beans. Eight (8) other plots were established on a banana plantation. Each of the plots were equipped with dividers and collecting tanks. Two treatments and one control were imposed on the maize intercropped with beans garden; namely *tithonia* and contour bunds. On the banana garden one treatment (mulch) and a control were imposed. The runoff transfer coefficients were estimated in the field using water and an accurate balance. Local varieties of maize, beans and banana were used for the experiment; and banana was cultivated in monoculture. Each treatment and control was replicated four times.

3.3 Measured parameters

The measured parameters included soil loss, runoff, nutrient losses and the crop yield. Runoff and soil loss were estimated for each rainfall event. Samples of collected runoffs were measured using a graduated cylinder and total runoff for the rainfall events were estimated by multiplying the collected runoff by the deviser's transfer coefficient. The collected runoff was thoroughly mixed and a 100 ml sample was collected for sediment concentration determination in the laboratory. In the laboratory, sediment concentration was determined by filtration. The total soil loss for the rainfall event was determined by multiplying the total runoff volume by the

associated sediment concentration. For a given season, the total runoff was computed as the sum of the different event runoff. The same was done for soil loss. Seasonal nutrient (N, P and K) losses were estimated for the short rainy season of year 2012 and the long rainy season of the year 2013. Plot composite soil samples were obtained by putting together sediment collected after each rainfall event for a given season. The composite samples were taken to the laboratory for N, P and K analysis. N, P and K were analysed using standard procedures (Okalebo *et al.*, 2002). Total N were analysed using Kjeldahl digestion method. Available P was extracted by Bray II method (Bray and Kurtz, 1945). The exchangeable K⁺ cation was determined by a flame photometer. Total N and total available P was determined by multiplying their respective concentration with the total season soil loss.

3.4 Data analysis

The survey questionnaires were coded and entered in PASW statistics version 18 for analysis. Frequencies, tables and graphs were obtained using descriptive statistics and cross tabulation. For the experiment, Analysis of Variance was used for mean separation at $p \leq 0.05$ in GenStat 13th edition.

RESULTS

4.1 Soil and Runoff losses under maize based cropping system

Soil and runoff losses for both season I (long rainy) and season II (short rainy) are presented in figure 2 and 3; respectively. Soil loss and runoff losses did not significantly depend on soil erosion practices and rain seasons ($P > 0.05$). However, soil loss under contour bunds was relatively the lowest followed by *Tithonia* and control for both seasons. A similar trend to that of soil loss was observed for runoff. The long rains season tended to have relatively higher value of

soil loss and runoff for all practices. Soil loss under contour bunds varied between 15.73 t/ha and 20.49 t/ha, 19.29 t/ha and 23.33 t/ha under *Tithonia* and 30.91 and 32.93 t/ha/season under control depending on seasons. Runoff under contour bunds was also the lowest followed by *Tithonia* and control for both seasons. Runoff ranged between 168.14 and 203.22 under contour bunds, 206.60 and 240.13 under *Tithonia* and 264.29 and 322.17 t/ha/season under control.




Figure 2: Soil losses under Maize cropping system




Figure 1: Runoff under Maize cropping system

Table 2 below shows nutrient concentration under different practices and across seasons. Different nutrients were affected differently by practices and seasons. Practices effects was observed only on K while seasons affected N and P concentration in the sediment lost ($P \leq 0.05$). The interaction between seasons and practices was significant for K an P concentration in sediment lost ($P \leq 0.05$). Contour bunds tended to have a relatively high concentration of N and K and lower value of P compared to other technologies for the short rain season. All technologies had less variation in concentration of nutrients during the long rain season. Nitrogen concentration in sediments was relatively higher during the first season compared to the second season for all practices. Phosphorous concentration was relatively constant under *Tithonia* and control during the two seasons. It is important to observe that P concentration increased during the second season for contour bunds. K concentration increased under *Tithonia* and control during the second season. It is also important to note that K concentration decreased under contour bunds during the season.

160

161 **Table 1: Nutrient concentration under different practices and across seasons**

Land use practices	Short rainy 2012			Long rainy 2013		
	N	P	K	N	P	K
	%					
Control	0.87	0.23	0.19*	0.36	0.28	0.34*
Contour bunds	1.94	0.16*	0.58	0.38	0.28*	0.36
<i>Tithonia</i>	0.75	0.24	0.20*	0.4	0.26	0.36*
LSD-landuse	NS	0.06	0.34	NS	NS	NS
LSD Season	0.56					
LSD Landuse.season	NS	0.06	0.23			

162 *: Seasonal effects at $P < 0.05$

163 Table 3 below shows nutrient losses under different practices and across seasons. Nutrient losses
 164 from different land-use varied with practices and seasons ($p \leq 0.05$). Practices effects was
 165 observed on K, while N loss was only affected by season ($P \leq 0.05$). K loss and P loss was also
 166 affected by season ($P < 0.05$). The interaction between seasons and practices was significant for K
 167 and P losses ($P < 0.05$). Contour bunds tended to have a relatively high amount of K and N
 168 losses and lower value of P loss compared to other technologies for the short rainy season (P
 169 < 0.05). Contour bunds had also relatively low amount of K loss, N loss and P loss compared to
 170 other technologies in the long rain season ($p < 0.05$). The amount of K and N losses decreased for
 171 plots with contour bunds during the long rain season while it increased for P loss. The amount of
 172 K loss and P loss increased for control and *Tithonia* during the long rain season while N loss
 173 decreased.

174 **Table 2: Nutrient losses under different practices and across seasons**

Land use practices	Short rainy 2012			Long rainy 2013		
	N	P	K	N	P	K
	Kg/ha/season					

Control	291.8	68.01	54.68	125.9	94.22	112.34
Contour bunds	409.4*	24.5	103.74	71.5*	55.5	72.92
<i>Tithonia</i>	131.1	45.47	35.96	87.7	59.68	83.06
LSD- <i>landuse</i>	NS	25.26	28.81	NS	NS	NS
LSD Season	178.3	20.45	23.32			
LSD <i>landuse</i> *season	311.2	NS	40.72			

* Seasonal effects at $P < 0.05$

4.2. Soil and Runoff losses under maize based cropping system

Soil and runoff losses for both season I (long rainy) and season II (short rainy) are presented in figures 4 and 5; respectively. Soil loss and runoff losses did not significantly depend on land-use practices and rainy seasons ($P > 0.05$). However soil loss on mulched banana was relatively the lowest compared to the control (banana unmulched) for both seasons. A similar trend to that of soil loss was observed for runoff. The long rainy season tended to have relatively higher value of soil loss and runoff for both practices. Soil loss under mulched banana varied between 89.93 and 15.77 t/ha/season and between 13.88 and 20.61 t/ha/season under unmulched (banana). Runoff under mulched banana was also the lowest compared to the unmulched banana. Runoff varied also between 85 and 134 under mulched banana and between 118 and 152 t/ha/season under unmulched banana.




Figure 2: Soil losses under banana cropping system




Figure 5: Runoff under banana cropping system

194 **Table 3: Nutrient concentration under different practices and across seasons for Banana**

Land use practices	%					
	Short rainy 2012			Long rainy 2013		
	N	P	K	N	P	K
Banana unmulched	0.74	0.24	0.19	0.39	0.23	0.28
Banana mulched	0.55	0.26	0.21	0.42	0.24	0.32
<i>LSD- landuse</i>	0.08	NS	NS			
<i>LSD-season</i>	0.07	NS	0.04			
<i>LSD landuse.season</i>	0.11	NS	NS			

195

196 Table 6 shows nutrient concentration under different practices and across seasons. N and P were

197 not significantly affected by different practices and seasons ($P>0.05$). Only K was affected by the

198 season ($P<0.05$). All the nutrients tended to have relatively the same concentration during the

199 long rainy season for the different practices except the N concentration which decreased under

200 control (banana unmulched) during the long rain season. Table 7 below shows nutrient losses

201 under different practices and across seasons. Most of the nutrient losses were not affected

202 significantly by practices and seasons ($P>0.05$). Only K losses were significantly affected by the

203 season ($P<0.05$). Mulched banana plots tended to have a relatively low nutrient losses in both

204 seasons. K and P losses increased during the long rainy season for all practices while N losses

205 decreased during the long rainy season for the unmulched banana.

206

207 **Table 4: Nutrient losses under different practices and across season for Banana**

Practices	Kg/ha/season					
	Short rainy 2012			Long rainy 2013		
	N	P	K	N	P	K
Banana unmulched	107	35.2	25.7	79	48.1	53.9

Banana-mulched	46	23.6	17.8	69	37.6	51.6
LSD-landuse	NS	NS	NS			
LSD Season	NS	NS	11.3			
LSD Landuse.Season	NS	NS	NS			

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The annual soil and runoff losses from both maize and banana cropping systems are severe and moderate; respectively (FAO, 1979; 1990). Nutrient losses are relatively lower under Banana compared to Maize. Soil and water management practices effects were not felt during the first year of experimentation for soil and runoff but was significant for nutrient losses under Maize and only for K under banana ($P<0.05$).

Soil loss under maize and banana in the study area are comparable with results obtained in the region (Majaliwa, 1998; Majaliwa, 2004; Azanga, 2013). Majaliwa (1998) obtained soil losses of 45 t/ha on maize intercrop with beans under sub-humid conditions and on slopes of 22% in the Lake Victoria crescent. Measured average annual soil loss ranged from 86.8 t/ha/yr in Rakai; and 27.9 t/ha/yr under banana (Majaliwa, 2004). Similar results were observed by Mulebeke (2003) where annuals lost 71 t/ha/season and 25.1 t/ha/season for banana. Runoff observed under this study was relatively very low compared to values obtained by Majaliwa (2004) for the same land use. Runoff under maize and banana was a seventh and half of what was obtained by Majaliwa (2004) for the same land use respectively. Nutrient losses were very high but less than values obtained by Majaliwa (2004) in Rakai. Values of 0-2 and 0.1-13 kg ha⁻¹ season⁻¹ for P and K, respectively, were reported on arable lands of sub-Saharan Africa (Stoorvogel et al., 1993).

228 Differences between the results of this study and aforementioned ones are attributed to slope, soil
229 type and climatic conditions. However, very small values (1.4 t/ha/yr) of soil loss were measured
230 by Tukahirwa (1996) in Kabale for similar slope of 10 % and quasi similar values of 30-t ha-1
231 yr-1 were recorded on slopes of 20%. Type of soil affects soil loss through infiltration and
232 erodibility. The infiltration rate of the experimental soil was generally very rapid (Landon, 1995)
233 compared to moderate and rapid rate under Majaliwa (2004) and Mulebeke's (2010) soils. High
234 infiltration rate contribute to reduced runoff, runoff sediment detachment (Le Bissonnais *et al.*,
235 2005) and nutrient loss (Lal, 1998; Simard *et al.*, 2000; Ng Kee Kwong *et al.*, 2002). Several
236 studies demonstrate that runoff generation is driven by antecedent soil moisture (Fitzjohn *et al.*,
237 1998; Meyles *et al.*, 2003; Castillo *et al.*, 2003; Wei *et al.*, 2007), and soil management systems
238 (Martinez *et al.*, 2006; Gomez *et al.*, 2009). The latter generally affects soil surface structure
239 (Farres, 1987; Lecomte *et al.*, 2001; Le Bissonnais *et al.*, 2005). A strong relationship exists
240 between soil structure, soil water retention and organic matter (Lal and Singh, 2000). The
241 experimental soils are deep and have high organic matter contents ranging from 3.68 to 5.7 %.
242 This explains the higher values of infiltration for these soils.

243 Variation in runoff, soil loss and nutrient losses between the different studies is also due
244 aggressive climatic factors. The erosivity factor is relatively higher in the study area than in
245 Uganda where Mulebeke and Majaliwa conducted their studies (Majaliwa, 2004; Mulebeke,
246 2010; Ischebeck *et al.*, 1984). It was ranging from 170 and 196 J m⁻² in Uganda while in DR
247 Congo it ranged between 220 and 300 J m⁻².

248 It is worthwhile to note that maize and beans yields were generally high despite declining in the
249 second season. The soil chemical and physical properties were not significantly influenced by
250 practices. High maize and beans yields are due to the good fertility status of the soil. Most of the



soil parameters were above the critical values, therefore adequate for plant growth. For banana, mulched plots had better yield reflecting the relative better nutrient conditions of the soils under mulch in terms of organic carbon, available phosphorus, extractable potassium and nitrogen.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In light of the above results and discussion, it was concluded that soil loss was generally severe on maize and moderate on banana; while runoff was relatively low ranging between 1 and 3% on banana and maize intercropped with beans; respectively. The reduction in soil and runoff losses due to soil and water conservation practices was not significant during the period of the study. Nutrient losses were generally high on both systems and varied from one practice to another under maize but only K under banana. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium losses under banana varied from 46-107 Kg/ha/season; 23.6-48.1 Kg/ha/season; and 17 -53.9 Kg/ha/season; respectively. They ranged from 71-409 Kg/ha/season; 24-94 Kg/ha/season; 35-112 Kg/ha/season for N, P, and under maize; respectively. Based on the results and observations made in the study area there is need to improve the extension services in the micro-catchment, and the country at large, in order to promote and increase the adoption of the tested soil erosion control practices; and to conduct long term experiments to assess the efficiency of existing soil erosion control practices in the Lwiro micro-catchment and the region.

REFERENCES

- Alin S. R, O'Reilly C. M., D. L. Dettman., Cohen A. S., M. R. Palacios-Fest, and McKee B. A. 2002. Effects of land-use change on aquatic biodiversity: A view from the paleorecord at Lake Tanganyika, East Africa. Geological Society of America.
- Cohen B.S., Harley N.H., Lippmann M.1984. Bias in air sampling techniques used to measure

276 Cohen, A.,S., Bills, R., Cocquyt, C.Z. and Caljon, A.G. 1993. Impact of Sediment Pollution on
 277 Biodiversity in Lake Tanganyika. *Conservation Biology* 7 (3):667-677.
 278

279 De Graaff, J., A. Amsalu, F. Bodnar, A. Kessler, H. Posthumus and A. Tenge, 2008. Factors
 280 influencing adoption and continued use of long-term soil and water conservation measures in
 281 five developing countries. *Applied Geogr.*, 28: 271-280.
 282

283 FAO.1990. Soil map of the World, Revised Legend. World Soil Resources Report.60. FAO,
 284 Rome
 285

286 FAO.1979. A provisional methodology for soil degradation assessment (with mapping of north
 287 Africa at a scale of 1: 5 million). FAO, Rome.
 288

289 Farres, P. J. 1987. The dynamics of rainsplash erosion and the role of soil aggregate stability,
 290 *Catena*, 14, 119–130, 1987.
 291

292 Fitzjohn, C., Ternan, J. L., and Williams, A. G.1998. Soil moisture variability in a semi-arid
 293 gully catchment: implications for runoff and erosion control, *Catena*, 32, 55–70, 1998.
 294

295 GTZ.1995. Causes of soil degradation and development approaches to sustainable soil
 296 management.
 297

298 Halbwachs, M., Tietze, K., Lorke, A., Mudaheranwa, C.2002. Investigations in Lake Kivu (East
 299 Central Africa) after the Nyiragongo eruption of January 2002. Solidarités, Aide Humanitaire
 300 d'Urgence, FParis.
 301

302 Ilunga L.1991. Morphologie, volcanisme et sédimentation dans le rift du Sud-Kivu.*Bulletin de la*
 303 *Société géographique de Liège*, 27, 1991, 209-228,
 304

- 305 Irvine, K., Dohoue, I., Wemaere, A., Styles, D. and Hobbs, W.2003. Connecting land use with
306 water quality: Sources, sinks and time bombs. Diffuse Pollution conference, Dublin. Water
307 resources management. pp. 56 - 60
- 308 Ischebeck, O., Kabazimya, K., Vilimumbalo, S.1984. Erosion at Bukavu. Proceedings Seminar
309 23– 28 January 1984, Bukavu, ISP Bukavu. 336 pp.
- 310 Jenkins, M.2008. Who Murdered the Virunga Gorillas? National Geographic, July 2008.
- 311 Kampunzu, A.B., Vellutini, P.J., Caron, J.P, Lubala, R.T, Kanika, M. and Rumvegeri, B.T.1983.
312 Le volcanisme et l'évolution structurale du sud-Kivu. Un modèle d'interprétation géodynamique
313 du volcanisme distensif intracontinental. Bull. Cent. Rech. Explor. Prod. Elf_Aquitaine, 7 (1):
314 257-271.
- 315
- 316 Lal, R. 1998. Soil erosion impact on agronomic productivity and environmental quality, Crit.
317 Rev. Plant Sci., 17, 319–464, 1998.
- 318
- 319 Lal M, Singh R. 2000. Carbon sequestration potential of Indian forests. Environ Monit Assess
320 60:315-327
- 321
- 322 Le Bissonnais, Y., Daroussin, J., Jamagne, M., Lambert, J. J., Le Bas, C., King, D., Cerdan, O.,
323 Le´onard, J., Bresson, L. M., and Jones, R. J. A.: Pan-European soil crusting and erodibility
324 assessment from the European soil geographical database using pedotransfer rules, Adv.
325
- 326 Lecomte, V., Barriuso, E., Bresson, L.-M., Koch, C., and Le Bissonnais, Y. 2001. Soil surface
327 structure effect on isoproturon and diflufenican loss in runoff, J. Environ. Qual., 30, 2113–2119,
328 2001
- 329
- 330 Lewis, L.A., Clay, D.C. and DeJagher, Y.M.J.1988. Soil loss, agriculture and conservation in
331 Rwanda : Toward sound strategies for soil management. J. Soil Water Cons., sept.-oct., 418-421.
332
- 333 Majaliwa J.G.M. 2012. Pollution sources in the Lake Kivu basin. Report to IFS.

334

335 Majaliwa, J.G.M., Twongyirwe, R., Nyenje., Oluka, M., Ongom, B., Sirike, J., Mfitumukiza, D.,
 336 Azanga, E., Natumanya, R., Mwerera, R. and Barasa, B. 2009. The effect of land coverchange on
 337 soil properties around Kibale National Park in SouthWestern Uganda.

338

339 Majaliwa,,J. G. M. 1998. Effect of vegetation cover and biomass development on soil loss from
 340 maize based cropping systems. M.Sc thesis. Makerere University.

341

342 Majaliwa et al., 2015. Efficiency of contour bunds in controlling soil, runoff and nutrient losses
 343 from major agricultural land-use types. In press

344

345 Meyles, E., Williams, A., Ternan, L., and Dowd, J.: Runoff generation in relation to soil
 346 moisture patterns in a small Dartmoor catchment, Southwest England, Hydrol. Processes, 17,
 347 251– 264, 2003.

348

349 Mulebeke R. 2010. Modeling Soil Loss in Farming Systems: Validation of a GIS Based USLE in a Banana-
 350 based Microcatchment of Uganda's L. Victoria Basin, LAP Lambert Acad. Publ.

351

352 Moeyersons, J. 1989, La nature de l'érosion des versants au Rwanda. Ann. Mus. roy. Afr. centr.,
 353 Tervuren,19.

354

355 Moeyersons, J., *et al.*2004.A geomorphological assessment of landslide origin at Bukavu,
 356 Democratic Republic of the Congo, *Engineering Geology*, 72, 73-87.

357

358 Ng Kee Kwong, K. F., Bholah, A., Volcy, L., and Pynee, K.2002. Nitrogen and phosphorus
 359 transport by surface runoff from a silty clay loam soil under sugarcane in the humid tropical
 360 environment of Mauritius, *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 91, 147–157, 2002

361 Okalebo, J.R., Gathua, K.W., & Woomer. P.L.2002. Laboratory Methods of Soil and Plant
 362 Analysis: A Working Manual; 2nd Edition. Sacred African Publishers, Nairobi, Kenya.

- 363 Pasteels, P., Villeneuve, M., De Paepe, P., Klerkx, J.1989. Timing of the volcanism of the
364 southern Kivu Province: implications for the evolution of the western branch of the East African
365 Rift system. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 94, 353-363.
- 366 Pouclet, A.1979. Le magmatisme du rift de l’Afrique Centrale, Interpretation des donnees
367 chronologiques et relations structurales. 10eme colloque de Geologie Africaine, Resume,
368 Montpellier : 140-141.
- 369 Tenywa, M.M., Tukahirwa, J.B., Buruchara R., Adekunle A. A.,Mugabe J., Wanjiku C.,
370 Mutabazi S., Fungo B., Kashja N. I.M., Pali P., Mapatano S., Ngaboyisonga C., Farrow A.,
371 Njuki J. and Abenakyo, A. 2010. Agricultural innovation platform as a tool for development
372 oriented research: Lessons and challenges in the formation and operationalisation. *Learning*
373 *Publics Journal of Agriculture and environmental Studies*2 (1):117-146.
- 374 Simard, R. R., Beauchemin, S., and Haygarth, P. M.2000. Potential for preferential pathways of
375 phosphorus transport, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 29, 97–104, 2000
- 376 Stoorvogel, J.J., Smaling, E.M.A.,and Windmeijer, P.N. 1993. “Calculating soil nutrient
377 balances in Africa at different scales. I. Supranational scale.” *Fertility Research*.35, 227 - 237.
378
- 379 Ulimwengu J, Funes J, Headey D, You L. 2009. Paving the way for development? The impact of
380 transport infrastructure on agricultural production and poverty reduction in the Democratic
381 Republic of Congo. Washington DC: IFPRI Discussion Paper 00944, International Food Policy
382 Research Institute (IFPRI); 2009. p. 48.