

Use of dimethylsulfoxide on the postharvest conservation and quality of strawberry and peach

.Abstract

Objectives: The objective of this study was to assess, for the first time, the effect of dimethylsulfoxide (1%, 4% and 7%) on the preservation and postharvest quality of strawberry and peach.

Experimental: It was used a completely randomized design.

Location and duration of study: The experiment was conducted in the Laboratory of Food Technology, belonging to the State University of Western Paraná (UNIOESTE), Marechal Cândido Rondon, Paraná State, Brazil, in the period from November to December 2017.

Methodology: The fruits were treated by immersion in solutions of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and stored (20 °C and 62% RH) for 9 days. The sampling occurred at intervals of 2 days for the analyzes of fresh weight loss and degradation of fruit and every 3 days for firmness, total soluble solids, total titratable acidity, soluble solids/acidity and ascorbic acid.

Results: During storage 4% DMSO was the dose that showed significant effect ($P < 0.01$) in the reduction of the microbial degradation in strawberries at 20 °C, however, had no effect on the loss of fresh weight and firmness. In peach, 1% DMSO accelerated softening and senescence of fruits causing further degradation. Total soluble solids of strawberries was not influenced by DMSO during storage, but peaches suffered influence of DMSO on the 3rd day at 4% and 7%. Strawberries treated with 4% DMSO showed higher total acidity than the control at 3 and 9 days of storage. Strawberries treated with DMSO showed retention of ascorbic acid similar to or lower than the control, however peaches showed lower contents of ascorbic acid until the 6th day of storage.

Conclusion: This study showed that DMSO was efficient in delaying the degradation of strawberry, but peach has not responded positively to application of DMSO under the same conditions of storage. In addition, DMSO was able to influence the total acidity in strawberries and peaches, however caused retention of ascorbic acid only in peaches.

Keywords: *Fragaria x ananassa* Duch, *Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch, Storage

1. INTRODUCTION

The strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) is a fruit much appreciated and consumed throughout the world, due to its pleasant aroma, bright color and delicious flavor. Due to non-climacteric pattern, strawberry is harvested at full maturity, in order to maintain their sensory attributes (visual appearance, firmness and color) and its nutritional quality (phytonutrients, vitamins and minerals) [1]. Due to the high respiration rate, soft texture, high sensitivity to mechanical shocks and vibrations strawberries have short postharvest life [2]. The shelf life of fresh strawberries stored at 0-4°C is approximately 8 days, while at 20 °C it is 3-4 days [3], leading to a high degree of perishability [4].

The Peach is considered climacteric fruit and its consumption has shown high demands and high commercial value. The postharvest life is too short when stored at ambient temperature due to their high susceptibility to pathogens [5]. The reduction of the quality of the fruit is manifested mainly by means of fresh weight loss, wilting, softening and rapid degradation, which leads to considerable economic losses [6].

Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) is an organic solvent widely used due to its large capacity for solubilization. The main reasons for multiple applications of DMSO are (i) low toxicity, (II) the efficacy to solubilize various polar and non-polar compounds, (III) excellent ability to permeate biological membranes without inducing changes of structural integrity, and (IV) inhibits growth of bacteria [7]. DMSO is widely used as a solvent in various medical applications, since it has the ability to permeate through cell membranes without causing damage [8]. In addition, DMSO has shown high antioxidant capacity [9] and antimicrobial action [10]. Such characteristics may make the DMSO a promising agent of postharvest conservation of plants. However, in literature was not found studies with the use of DMSO in postharvest of vegetables. Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate for the first time the effect of DMSO in postharvest conservation of strawberries and peaches.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 plant material and experimental plan

Samples of strawberry cv. 'Albion' and peach cv. 'Chiripá' were acquired directly from the producer, in the municipality of Marechal Cândido Rondon, located in the western region of Paraná, Brazil (420 meters altitude, latitude 24°33'24"S and longitude 54°3'24"W). The strawberries were picked when ripe (80 to 90% red) [11], and the peaches were harvested with whitish-green coloration [6]. The fruits were rigorously selected for experimental samples uniform in size, shape and color, and without signs of mechanical damage or symptoms of disease.

The experimental design was completely randomized in split plot in time. Were tested three doses of DMSO (1%, 4% and 7%, dissolved in distilled water) plus the control (untreated samples), with four replicates per treatment. A destructive group was composed for analyzes of firmness, total soluble solids (TSS), total titratable acidity (TTA) and ascorbic acid (AA), and another group not destructive for the analyzes of fresh weight loss (FWL) and degradation was also considered. A total of 260 fruit for each species was used in the assessments. The fruits were treated by immersion in solutions of DMSO (5 sec.), in accordance with the doses. Later, the fruits were dried at room temperature for 1 hour. After treatment, strawberries and peaches were placed in styrofoam trays and packaged in a modified atmosphere with PVC film. After they were stored for 9 days at 20 °C and 62 % RH. The ratings occurred every 2 days for samples not destructive and three days for the destructive.

FWL (%) was determined by means of successive weighings in semi-analytical balance. The firmness of fruits was determined by digital texturometer workbench (Brookfield, CT3), using a metallic rod with a plate of deformation (30 mm diameter), with displacement and strain rate of 10 mm and 3.0 mm s⁻¹, respectively. The firmness was expressed in Newton (N) [12].

The rate of degradation of fruits [4] was evaluated using empirical scale with six notes, being: 0) fruit healthy; 1) 1% to 20% of the surface of infected fruit; 2) 21% to 40% of the surface of infected fruit; 3) 41% to 60% of the surface of infected fruit; 4) 61% to 80% of the surface of infected fruit; and 5) ≥81% of the surface of infected fruit and showing sporulation. The degradation index was expressed as a weighted average of degradation by the percentage of the maximum level possible, calculated by the following formula:

$$D = \sum \frac{(dx)f}{(NxD)} \times 100$$

Where *d* is the note of intensity of degradation punctuated the fruit, and *f* is the frequency; *N* is the total number of fruits examined (i.e., healthy and infected); and *d* is the highest note of intensity of degradation that occurred in the empirical scale.

The juice of a combined sample of 3 fresh strawberries was used for measurements of TTA, TSS and AA. TSS was analyzed from the juice extracted from the fruits, and measured with the aid of digital refractometer Atago PAL-1 (°Brix 0-53%), with readings in triplicate, and the results were expressed in °Brix [13]. TTA was determined through acid-base titration, where aliquots of 10 mL of fruit juice was diluted in 90 mL of distilled water and filtered in qualitative paper, followed by titration with sodium hydroxide solution (0.01 mol/L) and phenolphthalein indicator, and the results were expressed in % of citric acid. The TSS/TTA [14] was also determined. AA was determined by the titrimetric method Tillmans (modified) [15], where 2,6-dichlorophenolindofenol-sodium is reduced by

82 ascorbic acid, and the results expressed in mg of ascorbic acid per 100 mL of the sample (mg 100 g⁻¹).
83
84

85 2.2 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

86 The data were submitted to analysis of variance and the F test parameters, which was considered
87 significant, were applied to the Tukey test ($P < 0.05$), with the aid of the software assistat [16].
88

89 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

90

91 3.1 FRESH WEIGHT LOSS, FIRMNESS AND DEGRADATION

92 There was no significant effect of DMSO on the loss of fresh weight of strawberries during storage,
93 because they showed no significant differences in relation to the control group (Figure 1A). The fresh
94 weight loss observed during the storage, regardless of the treatments, increased sharply, reaching
95 almost 26 %, which was expected for the strawberry because the conditions of ambient temperature
96 (average 20 °C). The fresh weight loss occurs due to the difference of vapor pressure between the
97 fruit and the atmosphere, reducing its water content. This variable has great importance during
98 storage and marketing of fruit, because high losses can cause reactions due to wither, wrinkling and
99 loss of brightness, resulting in a reduction in the quality of the final product [17].

100 The fruit firmness was not influenced by DMSO, since there were no significant differences between
101 fruits treated and control (Figure 1B). During the storage, there was a reduction of the firmness of the
102 strawberries that ranged from 30.6 to 13.6 N, i.e., a reduction of 55 %, which was independent of the
103 treatments. This loss of firmness of strawberries occurs due to the increase in the activity of enzymes
104 involved in the degradation of cell walls, as pectinmethylesterase and polygalacturonase [18]. The
105 firmness of strawberries has considerably decreased during the storage, negatively influencing the
106 acceptability of the consumer [11].

107 In case of degradation of strawberries (Figure 1C), the results suggest that the dose 4 % DMSO was
108 able to delay the degradation of fruit, because it showed percentages of degradation significantly
109 ($P < 0.01$) lower during the storage period. With two days of storage, fruits treated with 4% DMSO
110 showed no symptoms of degradation (0 %), while the degradation of control was 4 % and at doses of
111 1% and 7% DMSO the Degradations were 5 % and 3 %, respectively. In a relevant way, on the 6th
112 day of storage the dose 4 % DMSO showed degradation of 4 %, while the control showed 13 %. In
113 addition, 4% DMSO exhibited lower degradation and severity of gray mold and rot in
114 the Rhizopus (confirmed by morphological evaluation in microscope) compared to non-treated fruits,
115 demonstrating that 4% DMSO had inhibitory effect of fungal growth on strawberries stored.




Fig. 1. Fresh weight Loss (A), firmness (B) and (C) degradation of strawberries treated with DMSO in different storage times.

As well as on strawberry, DMSO has not been able to reduce the fresh weight loss of peach (Figure 2A), i.e., fruits treated with DMSO did not differ from the control and there was no effect between treatments. The fresh weight loss occurs mainly by evaporation/transpiration, which depends on the gradient of the vapor pressure of the water between tissue of the fruit and the surrounding atmosphere, but that, on a smaller scale, can occur due to the loss of carbon stocks as a result of breathing [2]. DMSO also does not interfere with the firmness of peach (Figure 2B). There was a strong reduction of fruit firmness at 3rd day of storage, indicating that DMSO was not effective in reducing the softening of peaches during storage. Firmness is an important indicator of fruit quality after harvest [20]. According to Li et al. [21], the rapid physiological changes that occur in climacteric fruits such as peaches are responsible for the short interval of postharvest maturation, which reflects in a softening of the fruit due to loss of turgidity and consequent degradation of the cell wall by hydrogen peroxide produced during storage which induces the loss of firmness.




Fig. 2. Fresh weight loss (A), firmness (B) and (C) degradation of peaches treated with DMSO in different storage times.

The antioxidant activity of fruits tends to diminish with the ripening due to increased oxidative stress at this stage, physiological, which also has great influence on the reduction of post-harvest quality of climacteric fruits, as the peach [6]. An efficient antioxidant system could reduce oxidative stress and atrazar senescence in fruit, but the treatment with DMSO was not able to retard the loss of fresh weight and firmness in peaches stored at 25 °C.

DMSO has not been able to reduce the degradation of peach during storage (Figure 2C). The degradation of treated fruits did not differ statistically from the control. The treatment with 1% DMSO caused further degradation of peaches during storage, differing significantly ($P < 0.01$) of fruit not treated and the doses of 4% and 7%, and this was because of a higher incidence of *Rhizopus* in fruits [22]. On the 8th day of storage degradation of peaches treated with 1% DMSO (40%) was higher than the control group (25 %). The treatment with DMSO may have favored the biological activity of enzymes of degradation of the cell wall, which may have facilitated the invasion of pathogens and, consequently, increased degradation of peach fruits due to the loss of integrity of the shell. The loss of cell integrity under stress of senescence during the storage of fruits can be caused by oxidative stress. There are several studies that suggest that the enzymatic antioxidant system can slows the lipid peroxidation of cell walls and thus delaying senescence in fruits [6]. However, for the peach the application of DMSO did not induce response of component in the activation of the antioxidant system.

3.2 TOTAL SOLUBLE SOLIDS, TOTAL TITRATABLE ACIDITY, ASCORBIC ACID AND SOLUBLE SOLIDS/ TOTAL ACIDITY

Figure 3 presents the results of TSS, TTA and TSS/TTA of strawberry and peaches treated with DMSO. Until the third day of storage (20 °C) strawberries had significant increases of TSS, but there were no significant differences between the treatments with DMSO, or between the treatments and the control group (Figure 3A), but in this period, peaches treated with 4% and 7% DMSO showed

161 levels of TSS (12.36 and 12.13 °Brix, respectively) were significantly higher than the control group
 162 (11.23 °Brix) (Figure 3B). These increases in TSS can be much more related to the concentration of
 163 sugars due to the loss of fresh weight, than the physiological processes of metabolism, once that
 164 parallel studies showed high fresh weight loss of fruits in this period. In addition, throughout the
 165 storage period, no treatment of DMSO was able to influence levels of TSS strawberries or peaches
 166 significantly lower than the control. Changes in the TSS content are due to the solubilization of
 167 poliuronídeos and hemiceluloses of cell wall in ripe strawberries and are usually accompanied by
 168 pleasant flavor and greater acceptance by consumers [1]. On the 6th day of storage, there was a
 169 reduction in the levels of TSS in peach fruits in all treatments applied. However the 9th day of storage
 170 the averages observed were greater for dose 4 and 7% DMSO (12.53 and 12.96 °Brix, respectively)
 171 compared to control treatments and 1% DMSO (11.56 and 11.20 °Brix).




Fig. 3. Total soluble solids (A and B), total titratable acidity (C and D) and soluble solids/acidity ratio (E and F) of strawberries and peaches treated with DMSO at different times of storage at 20 °C.

Strawberries treated with 4% and 7% DMSO showed ATT was significantly higher ($P<0.01$) than strawberries treated with 1% up to six days of storage (Figure 3C). The averages observed in contents of ATT of strawberries for the dose of 4% DMSO were higher (3.84%) in relation to the control group (2.56%) to 3rd day of storage. The Tta of strawberries treated with 4% and 7% DMSO not changed until the 6th day of storage, while in control there has been an increase. In addition, in the 9th day of storage, fruits treated with 4% DMSO also showed ATT (4.69%) higher than the control group (3.84%). Strawberries treated with 1% DMSO showed the lowest levels of ATT during 6 days of storage. For peaches, were not observed significant increases ($P<0.05$) of ATT in doses of 4% and 7% DMSO during 9 days of storage in relation to the control group (Figure 3 D). However, the dose of 1% DMSO showed ATT significantly ($P<0.01$) higher during 6° and 9th days of storage when compared to non-treated fruits (4.69% and 3.84%, respectively). Generally, the reduction of the ATT in fruits after harvesting is related to the increase of the water in cells due to respiratory metabolism, which interferes with the concentration of organic acids present in fruits [23]. On the other hand, increase the content of ATT can represent an increase the shelf life of fruits such as strawberry and peach [12].

The TSS/TTA of strawberries (Figure 3E) was higher in the 1% DMSO concentration at 3° (3.25) and 6° (3.22) days of storage in comparison to the control (2.8 and 2.13, respectively). With respect to the peach TSS/ATT was significantly higher ($P<0.01$) in fruits treated with 4% DMSO (48.3) when compared with control fruits (32.9) in the 3th day of storage (Figure 3 F). However at 6th and 9th days of storage peaches treated with 7% DMSO showed a relationship STT/ATT (26.7 and 40.53, respectively) greater than the control group (23.1 and 30.1, respectively). The concentration of 1% DMSO presented the lowest averages observed during the entire period of storage. The flavor of fruit, such as strawberries and peaches is conditioned mainly by the balance between the soluble solids and titratable acidity. A high TSS/TTA gives the fruit a better balance between sweet and sour, conferring more pleasant flavor, making them more attractive [24]. Resende et al. [25] evaluated the TSS/TTA of different cultivars of strawberry and associated results with the acceptance by the consumer, and observed that the largest TSS/ATT relations are associated with better perception of flavor of fruit. In this case, the results of this study showed that the strawberries had depreciation of the global flavor, because TSS/TTA reduced during storage, while the peach, apparently, there was an improvement of taste.

Strawberries treated with DMSO showed less retention of AA during storage (Figure 4 A). Strawberries untreated (control) showed higher levels of AA in 6° and 9th days of storage (68.05 and 27.77 mg 100 g⁻¹, respectively). The dose of 1% DMSO presented the lowest averages observed in all storage times. With respect to the peach, there was a significant effect of DMSO on retention of AA (Figure 4 B). In the 3rd and 6th days of storage all doses of DMSO resulted in peaches with higher levels of AA than the fruits of the control. Higher averages of AA were observed with 4% DMSO (14.55 mg 100 g⁻¹), followed by 7% and 1% (12.50 and 11.11 mg 100 g⁻¹, respectively), while peaches of control showed significantly lower levels (6.94 mg 100 g⁻¹) in the same period (3th). In addition, at 6th day there was constant reduction in levels of AA peaches, however, the dose of 1% DMSO showed higher levels of AA (8.33 mg 100 g⁻¹) in relation to the control group that was 5.55 mg 100 g⁻¹.




Fig. 4. Ascorbic acid strawberries (A) and peaches (B) treated with DMSO in different storage times.

In normal conditions of postharvest ascorbic acid from fruit and vegetables decreases during storage, due to the direct action of the enzyme ascorbate oxidase, or by the action of other enzymes, such as peroxidases [26]. Moreover, during the postharvest storage there is an increase in respiratory rate that causes reactions of oxidation and spoilage of ascorbic acid in the fruit [27].

4. CONCLUSION

This study showed that DMSO at a dose of 4% was efficient in delaying the degradation of strawberry, but did not influence the loss of fresh weight and firmness of the fruit. The peach does not respond positively to application of DMSO when stored at ambient temperatures. The use of DMSO did not influence the content of TSS of strawberries, but peaches showed increases in TSS when treated with 4% and 7%. In the dose 4% DMSO ATT of strawberries was higher and in peaches was lower. In addition, DMSO was able to influence the ATT strawberries and peaches, but observed retention of contents of AA only in peaches.

REFERENCES

1. R Dhital, Joshi P, Mora NB, Umagilivage, Chai T, Kohli P Choudhary R. Integrity of edible nano-coatings and its effects on quality of strawberries subjected to simulated in-transit Atelier de Picasso. LWT - Food Science and Technology. 2017; 80:257-264. Doi.org/10.1016/J.lwt.2017.02.033.
2. Sogvar B, Saba M K, Emamifar, Hallaj R. Influence of nano-ZnO on microbial growth, bioactive content and postharvest quality of strawberries during storage. Innovative Food Science and Eme0rging Technologies Journal. 2016;35(3):168-176B. Doi.org/10.1016/J.ifset.2016.05.005.
3. Dong F, Wang X. Effects of carboxymethyl cellulose incorporated with garlic essentialoil composite coatings for improving quality of strawberries. International Journal of Biological macromolecules. 2017;104: 821-826. Doi: 10.1590/j.ijbiomac.2017.06.091.
4. Feliziani A L L, Romanazzi G. Preharvest treatments with chitosan and other alternatives to conventional fungicides to control postharvest decay of strawberry. Carbohydrate Polymers. 2015;132(5):111-117. Doi.org/10.1016/J.carbpol.2015.05.078.
5. Gao H, Zhang Z K, Chai H K, N, Yang Cheng, Y, Wang D N, et al. Melatonin treatment delays postharvest senescence and reactive oxygen species regulates metabolism in peach fruit. Postharvest Biology and Technology. 2016;118(8):103-110. Doi.org/10.1016/J.postharvbio.2016.03.006.

- 255 6. Jiao W, Li X, Wang X, Cao J, Jiang W. Chorogenic acid induces resistance against *Penicillium*
256 *expansum* in peach fruit by activating the salicylic acid signalling pathway. *Food Chemistry*.
257 2018;260(15):274-282. Doi.org/10.1016/J.foodchem.2018.04.010.
- 258 7. Huang Y, Cartlidge R, Walpitagama M, Kaslin, J, Campana, W. Unsuitable use of DMSO for
259 assessing behavioral endpoints in aquatic model species. *Science of the Total Environment*.
260 2018;15(615):107-114. Doi: 10.1590/j.scitotenv.2017.09.260.
- 261 8. Ko C N, Wu Li C, G, Leung C H, Liu J B. The long-lived ferrocene-conjugated iridium (III) complex
262 is sensitive turn-on luminescence detection of traces of DMSO in water and human serum. *Analytica*
263 *Chimica Acta*. 2017;984 (1):193-201. Doi.org/10.1016/J.aca.2017.06.042.
- 264 9. Mueed M, Chawla G. Analysing the reaction of the diketone with
265 dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO)/acetic anhydride. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences*.
266 2016;11(5):492-496. Doi.org/10.1016/J.jtumed.2016.07.001.
- 267 10. Jacob S W, Jack C. dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) in trauma and disease. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
268 2015.
- 269 11. Sogvar B, Saba M K, Emamifar A. Aloe vera and ascorbic acid coatings maintain postharvest
270 quality and reduce microbial load of strawberry fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*. 2016;
271 114:29-35a. DOI: 10.1590/j.postharvbio.2015.11.019.
- 272 12. Liu C, Zheng H, Sheng K, Liu W, Zheng L. Effects of melatonin treatment on the postharvest
273 quality of strawberry fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*. 2018;139(5):Havens Ransomes.
274 Doi.org/10.1016/J.postharvbio.2018.01.016.
- 275 13. Pozzan M S, Braga G C, Salibe, B. levels of anthocyanins, total phenols, tannins and ascorbic
276 acid in grape 'Burgundy' on different rootstocks. *Revista Ceres*. 2012;59(5):701-708.
277 DOI.org/10.1590/S0034-737X2012000500017.
- 278 14. Carvalho, Mantovani C R L D M B, Carvalho P R N, Moraes R M M. Chemical analysis of foods.
279 Campinas, Instituto de Tecnologia de Alimentos. 85p. 1990;
- 280 15. Benassi M T, Antunes J A. Comparison of metaphosphoric and oxalic acids
281 the extractant solutions for the determination of vitamin C in selected
282 vegetables. *Archives of Biology and Technology*. 1988;31(4):507-513.
- 283 16. Silva F S, Azevedo C V. The Assistat Software Version 7.7 and its use the analysis of
284 experimental data. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2016;11(39):3733-3740. DOI:
285 10.5897/AJAR2016.11522.
- 286 17. Coltro S, Rotilli BROETTO L, M C C, Moraes J, BARP F K, Braga G C. Heat shock and salicylic
287 acid on postharvest preservation of organic strawberries. *Revista Ceres*. 2014;61(3):306-312.
288 Doi.org/10.1590/S0034-737X2014000300002.
- 289 18. Y, Wei Wei Xu Y, F, Shao X. The combined effects of tree oil and hot air treatment on the quality
290 and sensory characteristics and decay of strawberry. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*.
291 2018;136(2):139-144. Doi.org/10.1016/J.postharvbio.2017.11.008.
- 292 19. Martins M C, Lawrence S, Gutierrez S D, BASTENAKEN P, Amorim L. Quantification of post
293 harvest damage in peaches in São Paulo's wholesale market. *Fitopatologia Brasileira*. 2006;31(1):5-
294 10. Doi.org/10.1590/S0100-41582006000100001.
- 295 20. Li D, Li L, Luo Z, Lu H, Yue Y. Effect of nano-ZnO-packaging on chilling tolerance and pectin
296 metabolism of peaches during cold storage. *Scientia Horticulture*. 2017;225(18):128-133.
297 Doi.org/10.1016/J.scienta.2017.07.003.
- 298 21. Zhou R, Li Y, Yan L, Xie J. Effect of edible coatings on enzymes, cell-membrane integrity, and
299 cell-wall constituents in relation to brittleness and firmness of munfordville pears (*Pyrus pyrifolia*

- 300 Nakai, cv. Munfordville) during storage. Food Chemistry. 2011;124(2):569-575.
301 doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.06.075.
- 302 22. Salem and, Youssef K, Sanzani S M. Evaluation of alternative means to control
303 postharvest Rhizopus rot peaches. Scientia Horticulturae. 2016;198(26):86-90.
304 Doi.org/10.1016/J.scienta.2015.11.013.
- 305 23. Shahbazi Y. Application of carboxymethyl cellulose and chitosan coatings containing Mentha
306 spicata essential oil in fresh strawberries. International Journal of Biological macromolecules. 2018;
307 112:264-272. Doi: 10.1590/j.ijbiomac.2018.01.186.
- 308 24. Steffens, Severn AND P, Both V, Janisch, D I, Schmitt J, Giménez, G. Evaluation of strawberry
309 genotypes with respect to quality and storage potential. Revista Ceres. 2011;58(5):542-547.
310 Doi.org/10.1590/S0034-737X2011000500002.
- 311 25. Resende J T V, Camargo L K P, Argandonã AND J S, Marchese, Camargol C K. Sensory analysis
312 and chemical characterization of strawberry fruits. Revista Brasileira. 2008;26(3):371-374.
313 Doi.org/10.1590/S0102-05362008000300015.
- 314 26. Meinerzi roncato S C C C, Celanti V M, L, Dranski BROETTO J L, Gonçalves AND D V, et al.
315 Conservation of strawberry treated with thermal shock. Scientia Agraria Paranaensis. 2016;15(2):209-
316 214. Doi.org/10.18188/1983-1471/Sap. v15n2p209-214.
- 317 27. Tong N B, Patel P R T V R, Rao Improvement of quality and shelf-life of strawberries with edible
318 coatings enriched with chitosan. Postharvest Biology and Technology. 2013; 85:185-195.
319 Doi.org/10.1016/J.postharvbio.2013.06.008.