

APPLICATION OF SILICON TO ALLEVIATE IRRIGATION WATER SALINITY IN MELON GROWTH

ABSTRACT

Aims: the present study aimed to assess the alleviating effect of silicon in salinity on melon growth..

Study design: the experimental design was in randomized blocks, corresponding to the with four salt levels and three doses of silicon.

Place and Duration of Study: the experiment was conducted in a protected environment at Center of Sciences and Agri-Food Technology of the Federal University of Campina Grande, Campus of Pombal, Paraiba, Brazil, between october and decemberof 2017.

Methodology: the experiment was randomized blocks, in a 4x3 factorial scheme, with four salt levels (0.3, 1.3, 2.3 and 3.3 dS m⁻¹) and three doses of silicon (Si1) = 0; (Si2) = 3.2; (Si3) = 6.4 g.L⁻¹ per plant, applied via soil with four repetitions of 12 plants. as vegetable material the 'Hales Best Jumbo' melon hybrid of the Cantaloupensis group was used.

Results: salinity severely affected the leaf area, shoot dry weight and total weight and the Dickson quality index. On the other hand, silicon had a significant effect on leaf number, plant height, stem diameter, root length, number of flowers, and number of flower buds, root dry weight and extravasation of electrolytes.

Conclusion: the application of silicon alleviated the salinity effect favoring melon growth, especially when the dose of 3.2 g.L⁻¹ was applied.

Keywords: *Cucumis melo L.*; Salinity Stress; Alleviation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The melon plant (*Cucumis melo L.*) is one of the main species grown in the Northeast region of Brazil with a great importance for the producers and for the economy in the that region. The edaphoclimatic conditions with high temperatures, low relative humidity and long period of luminosity are characteristics that make possible the exploration of this culture [1]. However, the Northeastern semi-arid region presents soils and irrigation water with high levels of salts, which promote serious damage to cultures growth and productivity.

The most damaging effects of salinity are seen on seed germination, reduced osmotic potential, increased ionic toxicity and imbalance in water and nutrient absorption, leading to a generalized reduction in plant growth and resulting in serious damages to the agricultural activity [2,3]. Thus, it is necessary to adopt a management that alleviates the effect of salts, with the silicate fertilization being a promising alternative.

Silicon is an element that alleviates the effect of abiotic stresses, acting mainly as a function of the accumulation in the cell walls of roots, stems and leaves [4]. The soluble Si has an active role that potentiates plant defense mechanisms with the increase in the production of phenolic compounds, in the levels of some classes of phytoalexins and in the activation of some genes that encode PR proteins [5]. According to Ma & Yamaji [6], silicon is a nutrient that performs several functions in the plant, in disease control, reduction of effects promoted by abiotic stresses such as salt, water, heavy metal toxicity and nutritional imbalance.

In this context, the objective of this work was to assess the silicon's ability to alleviate the effects of salinity on melon seedlings (*Cucumis melo L.*).

34 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

35 36 2.1 Location of the experiment

37 The experiment was conducted in a protected environment at Center of Sciences and Agri-Food
38 Technology of the Federal University of Campina Grande, Campus of Pombal, Paraiba, Brazil.

39 2.2 Description of treatments

40 The experimental design was randomized blocks in a 4×3 factorial scheme, with four levels of water
41 salinity ($EC_w = 0.3; 1.3; 2.3; \text{ and } 3.3 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$); and three doses of silicon: ($Si1 = 0$; ($Si2 = 3.2$; ($Si3 =$
42 6.4 g.L^{-1} per plant, applied via soil, and four repetitions of 12 plants. Saline waters were prepared
43 using urban water and sodium chloride ($NaCl$), calcium chloride dehydrate ($CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$) and
44 magnesium chloride hexahydrate ($MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$) in the proportion of 7: 2: 1, which is equivalent to the
45 proportion of salts predominant in the main sources of water available for irrigation in the Northeast
46 Region of Brazil, calculated based on the relation between EC and salt concentration: $\text{mmolc L}^{-1} = EC$
47 $\times 10$ [7].

48 As the source of Silicon, Quimifol was used, composed of 15% silicon and 10% potassium totally
49 soluble in water with doses of Silicon ($Si2 = 0$; ($Si2 = 3.2$; ($Si3 = 6.4 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$ which was applied per
50 treatment. The potassium doses were compensated with the potassium chloride fertilizer with the
51 following proportions: ($Si1 = 8.28 \text{ g KCl}$; ($Si2 = 4.14 \text{ g de KCl e } (Si3 = 0$, applied in each treatment.

52 2.3 Plant Material and Experience Management

53 The seedlings were produced in 200-cell expanded polystyrene trays using Baseplant® substrate and
54 two seeds per cell of the 'Hales Best Jumbo' melon hybrid from Cantaloupensis Group were sown.
55 Seven days after the establishment of the seedlings, they were transplanted to polystyrene bags with
56 a capacity of 1 Kg/dm^3 , filled with the soil classified as Neossolo Flúvico, with an average
57 granulometric composition of 795 g kg^{-1} of sand, 117 g kg^{-1} of silt and 88 g kg^{-1} of clay, and the
58 following chemical attributes in the 0-20 cm depth layer: pH (H_2O) 8.2; MO, 0 g dm^{-3} ; P, 1.494 mg.dm^{-3} ;
59 $H+Al$, $0.0 \text{ cmolc.dm}^{-3}$; K, $0.51 \text{ cmolc.dm}^{-3}$; Ca, $7.8 \text{ cmolc.dm}^{-3}$; Mg, $2.7 \text{ cmolc.dm}^{-3}$; SB, 11.2
60 cmolc.dm^{-3} ; CTC $11.2 \text{ cmolc.dm}^{-3}$, and 100% base saturation according to the Brazilian Soil
61 Classification System [8].

62 Irrigation with saline waters started 5 days after transplanting the seedlings, and two irrigations were
63 performed daily. The volume of water applied was established according to the average
64 evapotranspiration of the test sample (0.3 dS m^{-1}), by calculating the volume of water applied by
65 equation 1:

$$66 \quad V_a = P_{cc} - P_a / n \quad \text{Eq.1}$$

67 Where: V_a = volume applied; P_{cc} = container weight in maximum water holding capacity; P_a =
68 average weight of the containers in the current condition; n = number of containers.

69 The application of silicon was divided in four instances, considering the day of transplanting, at 15, 25
70 and 35 days after transplanting (DAT) via fertirrigation by diluting the silicon in 0.3 dS m^{-1} EC water for
71 all treatments.

72 2.4 Variables assessed

73 After 40 DAT, the growth evaluations were performed, and the following variables were assessed:

- 74 - Height of plants: obtained from the measurement of the length of the main branch starting from the
75 base to the apex of the plant by using a millimeter ruler, with the results expressed in cm;
- 76 - Number of leaves: obtained by counting the number of fully mature leaves;
- 77 - Stem diameter: calculated with a digital caliper and the results are expressed in mm;

78 - Root length: obtained by measuring the length of the root with the aid of millimeter ruler, the results
79 being expressed in cm;

80 - Number of flowers: calculated by counting the number of flowers fully open;

81 - Number of flower buds: calculated by counting the number of flower buds;

82 - Leaf area: obtained by measuring the width of each leaf in the plant, the values obtained were
83 summed and, with the results of the final width, the final value was determined with a regression
84 equation obtained through the formula:

$$85 \quad \quad \quad AF = 108 * LFF - 518$$

86 Where: AF = total leaf area of the plant and LFF = final leaf width.

87 - Shoot dry weight, root dry weight and total weight: result obtained by separating the parts of the
88 plant from the plant root collar which has been packaged separately in bags of kraft paper. The
89 samples were then dried in an air-forced oven and maintained at 65 °C until constant weight was
90 reached. Total dry weight was obtained by summing the values of the root and shoot dry weight. The
91 results were expressed in g plant⁻¹;

92 - Dickson quality index: determined according to equation (2), which relates the total dry weight
93 (TDW), height (HP), root collar diameter (DC), shoot dry weight (MSPA) and root dry weight (MSR)
94 [9].

$$95 \quad \quad IQD = TDW / (HP/DC) / (MSPA/MSR) \quad \quad \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

96 - Relative water content: to determine this variable, a large and vigorous leaf was collected and
97 weighed in an analytical balance and then conditioned inside a plastic bag, with solution of 100 ml of
98 distilled water and left to stand for 24 hours. Subsequently, the leaf was weighed after stand and the
99 relative water content was obtained by calculating the difference between the two weightings values.

100 - Extravasation of electrolytes: consisted of the removal of eight discs from a completely mature leaf;
101 the discs were immersed in 50 ml of distilled water, and then the initial readings were conducted with
102 a digital sensor. The samples were then placed in an air-forced oven at 90 ° C, after 4 hours they
103 were removed and further readings were gathered. The result was obtained by calculating the
104 difference between the values of the final and initial readings in Us.

105 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

106 The variables were subjected to analysis of variance by F test (.01 and .05 probability levels) and, in
107 cases of significant effect, linear and quadratic polynomial regressions were applied, using the
108 statistical program SISVAR [10].

109 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

110

The relative water content in melon seedlings was not influenced by the applied treatments. For the variables of leaf number, plant height, root collar diameter and root length, the quadratic effect was verified as a function of the treatments applied, and the salinity effect was alleviated by the silicon doses up to 3.2 g.L⁻¹ (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Number of leaves (A), height of plants (B), stem diameter (C) and root length (D), of *Cucumis melo* L., as a function of different salinities of irrigation water and silicon doses.

**** $P < 0.01$; * $P < 0.05$**

The number of leaves showed the highest values at dose 0 to 1.7 dS m⁻¹ maximum salinity level (Figure 1A). 3.2 and 6.4 g.L⁻¹ doses presented different effects: 3.2 dose alleviated the effect of salinity to 0.7 dS m⁻¹ saline level. On the other hand, the 6.4 g.L⁻¹ dose of silicon did not promote an alleviating effect due to the salinities of the irrigation water.

The effect of Si on growth is still very controversial, due to positive and negative responses are found in the literature on different plant species. Zanão Júnior [11] in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), Rezende [12] in physalis (*Physalis peruviana* L.). On the other hand, Nascimento [13] observed an increase in rice plants growth (*Oryza saiva* L.) and Lima [14] in maize (*Zea mays* L.) due to of silicate fertilization.

Plant height and root collar diameter were influenced by the factors studied, showing an alleviating effect of salinity due to silicon doses (Figure 1B and 1C). It was observed that doses presented different behaviors, where the dose that most alleviated the effect of saline stress was the 3.2 g.L⁻¹ one, with the largest increments until 3.3; 2.2 dS m⁻¹ saline levels, respectively. On the other hand, the plants produced with the application of 0 and 6.4 g.L⁻¹ doses presented the highest values in 1.9 and 0 dS m⁻¹ saline concentrations. This effect promoted by the 3.2 dose could have occurred due to a greater lignification of the tissues. Silicon performs several mechanisms in the plant when subjected to saline stress, promoting nutrient homeostasis, osmotic adjustment, regulation and synthesis of compatible compounds, stimulation of enzymes and expression of resistance genes [15].

Melon root length showed similar results for 0 and 3.2 g.L⁻¹ of Si doses, with the highest values in 1.8 and 1.6 dS m⁻¹ salinities, respectively (Figure 1D). On the other hand, the 6.4 dose did not show alleviating effect on salts contained in irrigation water, and this effect may be caused by the accumulation of silicon in the tissues. This effect can be attributed to the higher accumulation of Si occurring in the shoot. When accumulating in leaves, Si promotes a protective barrier against water loss through evapotranspiration, promoting little effect on root growth [16].

For the number of flowers, the quadratic effect for the silicon doses is verified due to the irrigation water salinity (Figure 2A). 3.2 and 6.4 g.L⁻¹ doses of Si promoted the highest emergence of fully formed flowers at 2, 1.7 and 1.6 dS m⁻¹ salt levels, respectively. It is observed that the highest number of flowers in the 3.2 g.L⁻¹ dose, being the limit tolerated, since the increase of the dose promoted a decrease in the number of flowers. Silicon promotes a greater production of flowers, due to the higher nutritional balance [17].





Figure 2. Number of flowers (A), number of flower buds (B) and leaf area (C) of Cucumis melo L., as a function of different salinities of irrigation water and silicon doses.
**** $P < 0.01$; * $P < 0.05$**

On the other hand, the number of floral buds presented a different behavior compared to the number of flowers where 0 and 6.4 g.L⁻¹ doses presented a quadratic effect while 3.2 g.L⁻¹ dose of Si presented a linear decreasing effect due to salinity increase (Figure 2B). It is observed that the highest number of buds was obtained in the plants that received the dose 0, showing that Si did not promote increase for this variable. The fact of increasing the doses of Si applied does not guarantee that plant absorbs the amount applied. There may also occur a low transporter activity between the root and the xylem [6], causing the Si absorbed not to be transported by the plant.

The leaf area was influenced only by salinity, obtaining severe losses when the plants were submitted to higher salinity, occurring a reduction of 5,532 cm² in the 0.3 dS m⁻¹ level to 2,811 cm² in the 3.3 dS m⁻¹ level, promoting a reduction of 2,721 cm², in contrast to the values obtained in water with lower and higher electrical conductivity (Figure 2C). This effect can be attributed to the high level of salinity, because seedlings present the symptoms in the growth phase, negatively affecting the process of photosynthesis of the vegetable. Salinity promotes several serious deleterious effects on the plant, causing oxidative stress and resulting in damage to the photosynthetic apparatus and pigments involved [18].

For the variables of shoot dry weight and total dry weight, they were influenced only by the saline levels, and a quadratic effect was observed due to the irrigation water salinity, being the largest increases in the biomass accumulation occurred in the 0.3 dS m⁻¹ salt level (Figures 3A and 3C). This reduction in the accumulation of dry weight of the plants may be related to the reduction of the photosynthetic capacity of the plants, due to ionic interactions promoted by the excess of sodium salts [19]. This promotes a reduction in the production and accumulation of photoassimilates, as well as an increase in energy expenditure in the plant due to the reduction of osmotic potential, which promotes the reduction of water availability for plant growth [20].

173




174 **Figure 3. Shoot dry weight (A), root dry weight (B), total dry weight (C) and Dickson quality**
 175 **index (D) of Cucumis melo L., as a function of different salinities of irrigation water and silicon**
 176 **doses.**
 177 **** $P < 0.01$; * $P < 0.05$**

178 For the root dry weight, it is observed that the three doses of Si were adjusted quadratically to the
 179 saline levels, with the greatest accumulation of biomass in the plants produced with the 6.4 g.L⁻¹ dose
 180 of Si and submitted to the 1.6 dS m⁻¹ salinity (Figure 3B). This alleviating effect may be caused by
 181 improvements in the nutritional balance of the plant, since salinity tends to promote nutritional
 182 imbalance. Thus, the application of Si promotes nutritional benefits to the plant by the accumulation in
 183 the cell wall of the roots [4], reducing the effect of salt stress and promoting a greater accumulation of
 184 biomass.

185 The Dickson quality index was severely affected by salinity, with values adjusted to a linear
 186 decreasing effect with the highest values with 4.6 in the electrical conductivity of 0.3 dS m⁻¹, with a
 187 reduction in values reaching 3.5 at 3.3 dS m⁻¹ saline level (Figure 3D). This parameter is indicated as
 188 a good indicator of seedlings quality, since the higher the DQI value the more vigorous the seedling
 189 will be, due to the relation of greater robustness and weight distribution in the plant [21].

Regarding the extravasation of electrolytes, the doses of Silicon promoted the alleviation of the effects of saline stress, showing that the plants produced at dose 0 of Si were the ones that promoted the largest electrolyte leakage, occurring a release of about 150Us in the 3.3 dS m⁻¹ salinity (Figure 4). Sousa [22], studied cell membrane damage in citrus irrigated with saline water and found that the highest electrolyte release occurs due to salinity elevation, indicating that cell membrane resistance to damages promoted by stress conditions saline is related to membrane stability, since the lower the loss of electrolytes, the more stable the membrane is.

Figure 4. Extravasation of electrolytes in Cucumis melo L., as a function of different salinities



of irrigation water and silicon doses.

** $P < 0.01$; * $P < 0.05$

3.2 and 6.4 g.L⁻¹ doses of Si reduced this loss by reaching the maximum values of 58 and 72 Us at 2.1 and 2.7 dS m⁻¹ saline levels. This alleviating effect may be caused by higher stiffness of the cell wall of the leaf due to silicon accumulation. Si maintains cellular integrity by forming a layer of cuticular silica [23]. When absorbed as monosilic acid (H₄SiO₄), the greatest accumulation of silicon occurs in areas where there is greater transpiration [24], occurring mainly in the leaves, improving the plant architecture and promoting greater stiffness by deposition of Si [25].

4. CONCLUSION

Salinity severely affected the melon growth (*Cucumis melo* L.), reducing the leaf area, the accumulation of biomass in the shoot and total accumulation of biomass and Dickson quality index.

Silicon alleviated the effects of salinity, improving conditions for *C. melo* L. plants growth, especially those receiving the 3.2 g.L⁻¹ dose.

The application of silicon promoted a greater stiffness in melon leaves (*C. melo* L.), resulting in a lower release of electrolytes.

5. REFERENCES

1. . Melo JMM, Marinho LB, Vargens FN, Sousa Filho JR, Deon MD, Melo AMY. Crescimento de meloeiro submetido ao estresse hídrico com e sem irrigação do Vale do Submédio São Francisco Revista Brasileira de Agricultura Irrigada. 2017; 11 (2): 1261-1270. Available: <https://doi.org/10.7127/rbai.v11n200595> Portuguese
2. Lopes MAC, Muniz RVS, Alves SSV, Ferreira AC, SA FVS, Silva LA Água salina e substratos no crescimento inicial do meloeiro Irriga 2017; 22 (3): 469-484. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15809/irriga.2017v22n3p469-484>. Portuguese

- 224 3. Pereira FA, Medeiros JF, Gheyi HR, Dias NS, Preston W, Vasconcelos CBL. Tolerance of
225 melon cultivars to irrigation water salinity. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e*
226 *Ambiental*. 2017; 21 (12): 846-851. Available: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1807-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v21n12p846-851)
227 [1929/agriambi.v21n12p846-851](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v21n12p846-851)
- 228 4. Jesus EG, Fatima RT, Guerrero AC, Araújo JL, Brito MEB. Growth and gas
229 exchanges of arugula plants under silicon fertilization and water restriction. *Revista*
230 *Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental*. 2018; 22 (2): 119-124. Available:
231 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v22n2p119-124>
232
- 233 5. Rodrigues FA, Benhamou N, Datnoff LE, Jones JB, Bélanger RR. Ultrastructural and
234 cytochemical aspects of silicon-mediated rice blast resistance *Phytopathology* 2003; 93: 535-
235 546. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2003.93.5.535>.
- 236 6. Ma JF, Yamaji N. Functions and transport of silicon in plants. *Cellular and Molecular Life*
237 *Sciences* 2008; 65 (19): 3049-3057. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-008-7580-x>
- 238 7. Rhoades JD, Kandiah A, Mashali AM. Uso de águas salinas for the production agrícola
239 Campina Grande: UFPB, (Estudos da FAO, Irrigação e Drenagem, 48). 2000 p. 117 p.
240 Portuguese
- 241 8. Embrapa - Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária. Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de
242 Solos Sistema Brasileiro de classificação de solos. Rio de Janeiro: EMBRAPA. 2013. 412 p.
243 Portuguese
- 244 9. Dickson A, Leaf AL, Hosner JF. Quality appraisal of white spruce and white pine seedling
245 stock in nurseries. *The Forest Chronicle*. 1960; 36 (1): 10-13. Available:
246 <https://doi.org/10.5558/tfc36010-1>
- 247 10. Ferreira DF Sisvar: a guide for its bootstrap process in multiple comparisons. *Ciência e*
248 *Agrotecnologia*. 2014; 38 (2): 1038-1042. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S141>
- 249 11. Zañão Júnior LA, Blanco IB, Pereira N, Schneider JA. Acidity neutralization and silicone
250 availability using calcium silicate in soil cultivated with wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Australian*
251 *Journal of Crop Science*. 2017; 11 (10): 1354-1357.
- 252 12. Rezende RALS, Rodrigues FA, Soares JDR, Silveira HRO, Pasqual M, Dias GMG. Salt
253 stress and exogenous silicon effect physiological and anatomical features of vitro-grown cape
254 gooseberry. *Ciência Rural*. 2018; 48 (1): 1-9. Available: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0103-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20170176)
255 [8478cr20170176](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20170176)
- 256 13. Nascimento IO, Rodrigues AAC, Braun H, Santos CC, Catarino AM. Silicon fertilization and
257 seed microbiolization on disease severity and agronomic performance of upland rice. *Revista*
258 *Caatinga* 2018; 31 (1): 126-134.
- 259 14. Lima MA, Castro VF, Vidal JB, Enéas-Filho J. Aplicacao of Silicio in Los Angeles and South
260 Africa. *Revista Ciência Agronômica*. 2011; 42 (2): 398-4032. Portuguese
- 261 .
- 262 15. Rizwan M, Ali, S, Ibrahim M, Farid M, Adrees M, Bharwana AS, Zia-ur-Rehman M, Qayyum
263 MF, Abbas F. Mechanisms of silicone-mediated elimination of drought and salt stress in
264 plants: a review . *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 2015; 20 (22): 15416-
265 15431. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5305-x>.
- 266 16. Asmar AS, Pasqual M, Rodrigues FA, Araújo AG, Pio LAS, Silva SO. Fontes de silício no
267 desenvolvimento de plantas de bananeira 'Maçã' micropropagadas. *Ciência Rural*. 2011; 41
268 (7): 1127-1131. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782011005000086> Portuguese

- 269 17. Paulino AS, Albuquerque AW, Moura Filho G, Pereira FRS. Helicônia "Golden Torch":
270 Produtividade fossil fossils and doses of different types of doses. Revista Brasileira de
271 Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental. 2015; 17 (6): 615-621. Available:
272 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1415-43662013000600007> Portuguese
- 273 18. Nazar R, Umar S, Khan NA, Sareer O. Salicylic acid supplementation improves
274 photosynthesis and growth in mustard through changes in proline accumulation and ethylene
275 formation under Drought stress. South African Journal of Botany 2015; 98: 84-94. Available:
276 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2015.02.005>.
- 277
- 278 19. Soares LAA, Brito MEB, Fernandes PD, Lima GS, Soares Filho WS, Oliveira ES.
279 Crescimento de combinações copa-porta-enxerto de citros ashtras hicroco em de vegetation
280 Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental. 2015; 19 (3): 211-217. Available:
281 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v19n3p211-217> Portuguese
- 282 20. Taiz L, Zeiger E, Møller IM, Murphy A. Fisiologia e desenvolvimento vegetal. 6. ed Porto
283 Alegre: Artmed, 2017. 858 p.
- 284 21. Oliveira FT, Mendonça V, Hafle OM, Moreira JN, Pereira Júnior EB, Lopes JDA. Fontes organs
285 and ebooks are not available in the form of inclusions of the Port-enxertos de goiabeira.
286 Revista Verde de Agroecologia e Desenvolvimento Sustentável 2013; 7 (2): 97-103.
287 Portuguese
- 288 22. Souza JRM, Gheyi HR, Brito MEB, Xavier DA, Furtado GF. Trocas gas production and
289 production of citros by producing gasoline and nitrogen. Revista Caatinga 2016; 29 (2): 415-
290 424. Portuguese
- 291 23. Galaati VC, Guimarães JER, Marques KM, Fernandes JDR, Cecilio Filho AB, Mattiuz B. The
292 publication of the documentary, The Hippoponia, as well as the Conservation Code of the
293 Americas 'Lucy Brown' mineral processing process. Ciência Rural. 2015; 45 (11): 1932-1938.
294 Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20140334> Portuguese
- 295 24. Oliveira S, Lemes ES, Meneghello GE, Tavares LC, Barros ACSA Aplicação de silício via
296 solo no translation and fisiológica de sementes de soja. Semina: Ciências Agrárias. 2015; 36
297 (5): 3029-3042. Available: <https://doi.org/10.5433/1679-0359.2015v36n5p3029> Portuguese
- 298 25. Cunha ACMCM, Oliveira ML, Caballero EC, Martinez HEP, Fontes PCR, Pereira PRG.
299 Growth and nutrient uptake of coffee seedlings are cultivated in nutrient solution with and
300 without silicon addition. Revista Ceres. 2012; 59 (3): 392-398. Available:
301 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0034-737X2012000300015>