

CORRELATION BETWEEN INFLORESCENCE PHENOLOGICAL EVENTS OF MANGO (*MANGIFERA INDICA* CV. KENT) AND SOME CLIMATIC PARAMETERS IN COTE D'IVOIRE

ABSTRACT

Aims: It was aimed to investigate the relationship between two climatic parameters, temperature and relative humidity, and the flower-cutting interval of mangoes tree cv. Kent

Study design: The experimental design used was the completely randomized design with triplicate.

Place and Duration of Study: The present research was developed in the Laboratoire de Biologie et Amélioration des Productions Végétales, UFR Sciences de la Nature, Université Nangui Abrogoua, Côte d'Ivoire and in the Centre National de recherche Agronomique, Station de recherche de Lataha (Lavononkaha), Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire, between December 2015 to January 2018.

Methodology: One hundred (100) mango trees were chosen to constitute four blocks of mango trees cv Kent. Every three days, the phenological events were notified. Regular observations were performed to determine the time between the phenological events of the inflorescence. Thus, the inflorescence appearance and the first flower opening, the time between the first flower opening and the first fructification, in relation with temperature and relative humidity were determined.

Results: It was not observed a negative correlation between temperature, time of inflorescence appearance and the first flower opening. Moreover, the relative humidity revealed a positive correlation with this time. In addition, the time elapsing between the first flower opening and the first fruiting is negatively correlated with temperature but positively with relative humidity.

Conclusion: Negative correlation between the time elapsing from the inflorescence appearance and the first flower opening as well as the temperature. Furthermore, positive correlation between was revealed between the inflorescence appearance and the first flower

opening as well as the relative humidity. Similarly, for the elapses delay between the first flower opening and the temperature. In addition, correlation observed between fruiting time and relative humidity was positive.

Key words: *temperature ; relative humidity ; inflorescence; flower opening; fruiting; mango tree cv. Kent*

1. INTRODUCTION

The geographical distribution of plant species, vegetation types and agricultural cropping patterns shows a strong influence of climate on plant growth. Temperature, precipitation (humidity) and seasonal patterns are key determinants of plant development, from flowering to fruiting, through a variety of mechanisms [1]. In mango tree, seasonal cyclical changes in root, flower, fruit and their development depend on cultivars and climatic conditions. Varietal responses to the environment within and between mango cultivars explain their production performance [2]. A temperature regime of 25°C during the day and 15°C during the night as prevails during this period was found to be the optimum for flower induction [4]. Flowering intensity of mangoes was found to negatively correlate with relative water content [4]. The knowledge of the phenology of other mango cultivars can be used for genetic breeding or even for cultivation in new planting areas and on the range of processes linked to agronomic management of the supply chain, it is important to know the periodic biological events involving the development of this species.

Mango production accounts for approximately 36% of the worldwide production of tropical fruit [5]. Mango occupies a choice place in the economy of the populations living in the North of Côte d'Ivoire. This fruit, whose consumption was once mostly local, has been a significant source of foreign exchange since it was massively exported [6]. Currently, Côte d'Ivoire is the largest exporter of ECOWAS mangoes [7]. These mango exports generate foreign exchange and provide substantial income for producers [8]. The northern region (Korhogo, Ferkessédougou and Boundiali) is the main area of origin of mango for export [9]. The cultivar Kent originates from Florida and was introduced into Africa via the Foulaya experimental station in Guinea around 1950. From there, it was dispersed to other stations in western and central Africa. The fruits reach maturity in the middle of the season [10]. The flesh is firm and has a pleasant taste. This mango matures very slowly and gradually. Fruits that are harvested close to maturity can be stored for a long time at cool temperatures. The fruit's excellent sensory qualities, the firmness of its flesh and its gradual ripening are all equally attractive

58 features to retailers. Although it is difficult to grow, this mango is the standard in terms of quality on
 59 export markets. It depends heavily on the nature of the soil, the climate and especially the
 60 inflorescence phenological events [11]. The Kent variety constitutes 60 to 70% of Ivorian mango
 61 exports [16]. Every year, the key actors in mango industry, namely producers and exporters, invest
 62 heavily in order to better organize harvest and export campaign, through which there is the control of
 63 the flower-cutting interval.

64 The knowledge of the phenological events of mango tree and climate parameters can be used
 65 on the range of processes linked to agronomic management of the supply chain, it is important to
 66 know the periodic biological events involving the flowering development and fruiting. Considering that,
 67 the mango can get place in the Ivorian agricultural development and be an effective tool in the fight
 68 against poverty, assessment of inflorescence phenological events in relation with climate are
 69 essential for mastering the flower-cut interval displaying option for good programming of crops to
 70 export. Thus, this study aimed to evaluate the correlation between the inflorescence phenological
 71 events of mango cv. Kent and some climatic parameters in orchards in northern Côte d'Ivoire. This in
 72 order to help control the first section of the flower-cut interval which is the flower-set interval.

73 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

74 **2.1. Site of study**

75 The study was conducted from december 2015 to january 2018 in Lavononkaha (Korhogo),
 76 located in the North of Côte d'Ivoire located between 5 °15 W and 6°20 N longitudes, and 8°30 and
 77 10°25 N latitudes. The dry season is accompanied by the harmattan between the months of
 78 December and February as well as peaks of heat between March and April. The vegetation consists
 79 of grassy savannahs and trees. The precipitations varies between 1200 and 1400 mm. The choice of
 80 orchard was guided by the rigorous maintenance he received which earned him the global-Gap
 81 certification.

82 **2.2. Plant material**

83 The plant material consists of the inflorescence of the mango cv. Kent. (Figure 1). This variety
 84 accounts for 60-70% of Ivorian mango exports [6].

85



Figure 1. Inflorescences of mango tree cv. Kent

2.3. Selection of mango trees

Approximately, 100 mango trees were chosen to constitute four blocks of mango trees (Figure 2). The thirty-three (33) first mango trees in bloom within the orchard were selected for the different observations (Figure 3). The experimental design was randomized blocks with 33 plants. The experience has been repeated every year for three years. An automatic recording thermohygrometer (Tinytag), placed in the orchard, under cover, was used to capture the different temperatures and relative humidity.




Figure 2. Position of the selected mango trees in orchard




Figure 3. View of the study plot

A : Alignment of mango trees from selected orchard, B: selected mangoes marked with string, C: selected inflorescences marked with string (orange string: selected inflorescences on 3rd day of selection blue string: selected inflorescences on day 5 of selection, yellow strings : selected inflorescences on the 6th day of selection); D: collar indicating the mango tree coordinates in the study orchard (M4G: mango tree on line 4 going east and on line G going north); d; day.

2.4. Inflorescences selection

Every three days, the inflorescences appearing on the selected mango trees in each block were chosen and to constitute the inflorescences groups according to their date of selection. The inflorescences selected on the same date were marked with string of the same color (Figure 3C). This made it possible to follow them and note the different dates of the phenological events.




Figure 5. Inflorescence of mango tree cv. Kent

A: Inflorescence newly appeared; B: first open flower within the inflorescence; inf: inflorescence; pe: petal; st: stamen; uof: unopened flower

2.5. Determination of the phenological events time

Regular and daily observations of the inflorescences at the same hours, made it possible to note their dates of appearance, dates of first flower opening within them and dates of first fruit set. Visibility flower bud on the date of our passage, is indexed as date of inflorescence appearance. The flower bud should also be about 2 cm high to be retained (Figure 5 A). A flower is considered open when at the date of our passage its stamens and pistil are visible and its petals open (Figure 5 B). The delays between these events have been obtained and translated into the following formulas:

$$\text{Del AI} / \text{POF} = \text{DPOF} - \text{DAI}$$

$$\text{Del POF} / \text{PN} = \text{DPN} - \text{DPOF}$$

With Del = Delay; AI = appearance of inflorescence; POF = first flower opening within the inflorescence; PN = first set within the inflorescence; D = Date.

2.6. Temperature and relative humidity

2.6.1. Temperature and hygrometry recording equipment

Temperatures and relative humidity were regularly recorded in 15 minutes using a Tynitag 2 Plus thermohygrometer. It was placed in a protective cap and then installed on the mango tree (Figure 6). The Tynitag explorer 4.9 software made it possible to recover the recorded data.




Figure 6. Use of thermohygrometer

a: thermohygrometer; b: thermohygrometer placed in a protective cap;
c: thermohygrometer installed on a mango tree

2.6.2. Obtaining temperature and relative humidity variables

Three days variation is applied during the inflorescences selection and makes it possible to appreciate the various temperatures or relative humidity acting on the inflorescences groups. The

average of temperatures as well as of relative humidity received by each inflorescences group during the delays between the different phenological events were calculated according to the following formulas:

$$T_m (^{\circ} C) = \frac{\sum_i T (^{\circ} C)}{N}$$

With T_m = mean of temperature; $\sum_i T$ = sum of temperatures recorded from the beginning of the delay until its end ; N = number of records.

$$HR_m (\%) = \frac{\sum_i HR (\%)}{N}$$

HR : average relative humidity; $\sum_i HR$: sum of the relative humidity recorded from the beginning of the delay until its end ; N : number of records

2.7. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistica software (release 7.1). Spearman Rank correlation test followed by a comparison of means by Tukey HSD's test at the threshold 5% were used. Data are the means of three replicates.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Correlation between temperature, delay, inflorescence appearance and the first flower opening within the inflorescence

Table 1 shows that the delay between the inflorescence appearance and the first flower opening within inflorescence, under the northern of Côte d'Ivoire conditions, lies between 8.92 and 11.91 days. It also reveals that the times obtained according to the average temperatures which have occurred by the groups of inflorescences are significantly different. In each group, 58 inflorescences were observed. Except the group 1 of inflorescence (Inf 1) which obtained a short time, longer times were obtained with groups of inflorescences which received the smallest average temperatures (Inf 4, Inf 2, Inf 3 and Inf 5). As for the shorter times, they were obtained with groups of inflorescences which received the highest average temperatures (Inf 9, Inf 8 and Inf 7). Analysis of these results by the significant Spearman Rank Correlation test marked at $p < .05$ gives a correlation coefficient of -0.867 (data not shown). This coefficient, which is close to -1, reveals the existence of a negative correlation between the ambient temperature and the delay between the inflorescence appearance and the first

flower opening within this one. In addition, the various phenological events that appeared, such as inflorescences, flowers and fruiting bodies are shown in Figure 7.

Table 1. Time between inflorescence appearance and first flower opening in relation temperatures

Group of inflorescences	Temperature (°C)	Delay (days)	Effective
Inf 1	25.89 ± 0.14 ^a	09.16 ± 3.57 ^A	58
Inf 2	25.57 ± 0.20 ^b	11.10 ± 2.30 ^B	58
Inf 3	25.78 ± 0.31 ^c	11.38 ± 2.70 ^C	58
Inf 4	25.44 ± 0.37 ^d	11.91 ± 2.94 ^D	58
Inf 5	25.80 ± 0.33 ^e	11.27 ± 2.26 ^E	58
Inf 6	25.98 ± 0.53 ^f	09.92 ± 2.06 ^F	58
Inf 7	27.63 ± 0.14 ^g	09.14 ± 2.01 ^G	58
Inf 8	28.46 ± 0.08 ^h	09.53 ± 2.46 ^H	58
Inf 9	28.54 ± 0.05 ⁱ	08.92 ± 2.44 ^G	58

Inf : group of inflorescences followed by the rank of selection day. Values represent the mean of triplicates. In a column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different (test of Tukey HSD at 5%).




Figure 7. Different phenological events of mango tree cv. Kent

A: newly emerged inflorescence; B: 6-day inflorescence; C: 10-day inflorescence; D: 17-day inflorescence; Ninf: new inflorescence; UOF: unopened flower; FOF: first opening of flower within the inflorescence; FFI: first fruit set within the inflorescence

3.2. Correlation between relative humidity, time of inflorescence appearance and first flower opening

The relative humidity received by the groups of inflorescences as well as the times are significantly different (Table 2). It is also observed that the shortest times were obtained with the groups of inflorescences which received the smallest relative humidity (Inf 1, Inf 7, Inf 8 and Inf 9). The long times were obtained with the groups of inflorescences which received the highest relative humidity (Inf 2, Inf 3, Inf 4 and Inf 5). The significant Spearman Rank Correlation test marked at $p < .05$ indicates a coefficient of 0.767 (data not shown). This coefficient, which is close to 1, reveals the positive relationship between these two parameters.

Table 2. Time between inflorescence appearance and first flower opening in relation with relative humidity

Group of inflorescences	Relative humidity (%)	Time (days)	Effective
Inf 1	23.06 ± 0.88^a	09.16 ± 3.57^A	58
Inf 2	25.09 ± 0.69^b	11.10 ± 2.30^B	58
Inf 3	24.88 ± 1.80^c	11.38 ± 2.70^C	58
Inf 4	28.14 ± 1.53^d	11.91 ± 2.94^D	58
Inf 5	32.86 ± 2.34^e	11.27 ± 2.26^E	58
Inf 6	30.58 ± 2.57^f	09.92 ± 2.06^F	58
Inf 7	21.87 ± 2.04^g	09.14 ± 2.01^G	58
Inf 8	22.08 ± 0.51^h	09.53 ± 2.46^H	58
Inf 9	20.49 ± 1.05^i	08.92 ± 2.46^G	58

Inf : group of inflorescences followed by the rank of selection day. Values represent the mean of triplicates. In a column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different (test of Tukey HSD at 5%).

3.3. Correlation between temperature, time, inflorescence appearance and first flower opening within the inflorescence

Table 3 show that the temperatures received by the groups of inflorescences as well as the delays are significantly different from each other. In addition, the longest times were obtained with the groups of inflorescences which received the smallest temperatures (Inf 1, Inf 2 and Inf 4). Relative to short times, they were obtained with groups of inflorescences which received the highest temperatures (Inf 9, Inf 8 and Inf 10). The significant Spearman Rank Correlation test marked at $p < 0.05$ indicates a correlation coefficient of -0.830 (data not shown). Otherwise, this coefficient which is close to -1, reveals the existence of a negative relationship between these two parameters.

Table 3. Time between first flower opening and first fruit set in relation to temperatures

Group of inflorescences	Temperature (°C)	Time (days)	Effective
Inf 1	25.83 ± 0.13^{ac}	11.85 ± 1.87^A	55
Inf 2	25.98 ± 0.15^b	09.71 ± 1.34^B	55
Inf 3	26.03 ± 0.50^b	07.34 ± 2.91^C	55
Inf 4	25.79 ± 0.46^a	09.11 ± 3.15^D	55
Inf 5	25.85 ± 0.65^c	08.40 ± 1.90^E	55
Inf 6	27.61 ± 0.18^d	08.21 ± 2.08^F	55
Inf 7	28.35 ± 0.03^e	06.10 ± 1.30^G	55
Inf 8	28.50 ± 0.10^f	06.65 ± 2.58^H	55
Inf 9	28.68 ± 0.13^g	06.24 ± 2.07^I	55
Inf 10	28.36 ± 0.14^e	05.46 ± 1.42^J	55

Inf : group of inflorescences followed by the rank of selection day. Values represent the mean of triplicates. In a column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different (test of Tukey HSD at 5%).

3.4. Correlation between relative humidity, delay, first flower opening within the inflorescence and first fruit set within the inflorescence

The results show that relative humidity received by the groups of inflorescences as well as the delays are significantly different from each other (Table 4). Similarly, the longest delays were obtained with groups of inflorescences that received the highest average relative humidity (Inf 1, Inf 4, Inf 5 and Inf 6). As for the shortest delays, they were obtained with groups of inflorescences which received the

lowest relative humidity (Inf 9, Inf 8 and Inf 10). In addition, the significant Spearman Rank Correlation test marked at $p < .05$ indicates a coefficient of 0.721 (data not shown). This coefficient, which is close to 1, reveals the existence of a positive relationship between these two studied parameters.

Table 4. Time between first flower opening and first fruit set in relation with relative humidity

Group of inflorescences	Relative humidity (%)	Time (days)	Effective
Inf 1	$27.61 \pm 0.23a$	12.85 ± 1.87^A	55
Inf 2	$23.88 \pm 0.60b$	10.71 ± 1.34^B	55
Inf 3	$26.43 \pm 3.13c$	08.34 ± 2.91^C	55
Inf 4	$31.52 \pm 3.54d$	10.11 ± 3.15^D	55
Inf 5	$36.04 \pm 2.23e$	09.40 ± 1.90^E	55
Inf 6	$31.35 \pm 2.57f$	09.21 ± 2.08^F	55
Inf 7	$22.38 \pm 0.29g$	07.10 ± 1.30^G	55
Inf 8	$21.03 \pm 0.90h$	07.65 ± 2.58^H	55
Inf 9	$19.18 \pm 1.28i$	07.24 ± 2.07^I	55
Inf 10	$16.06 \pm 0.07j$	06.46 ± 1.42^J	55

Inf : group of inflorescences followed by the rank of selection day. Values represent the mean of triplicates. In a column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different (test of Tukey HSD at 5%).

4. DISCUSSION

The results of study reveal the existence of a negative correlation between the temperature and the time elapsing from the inflorescence appearance to the first flower opening. The smaller temperatures that reduce the time between these two phenological events of the inflorescence suggest an influence of temperature on the events that mark the beginning and the end of this delay. In fact, flowering or inflorescence appearance, which is the event of the beginning of the time, is under the influence of temperature as reported by several studies [12-14]. Moreover, the works of Joubert *et al.* [15] and Muhammad *et al.* [16] that mango bloom is due to stress caused by low temperatures. Thus, there is a negative allometric relationship between the temperature and the time elapsing from the inflorescence appearance to the first flower opening [17; 18]. In addition, the flower opening is also influenced by the temperature. Indeed, under the temperature effect, the differential growth of flower between the inner face and the outer face causes the opening of the latter [19-22].

Similarly, Patrick [23] reported that flower development is influenced by sufficient temperatures. On the other hand, the results revealed the existence of a positive relationship between the relative humidity and the time elapsing from the inflorescence appearance to the first flower opening. These results indicate that relative humidity is another important factor influencing the development of mango inflorescences, including the inflorescence appearance and the flowers opening [18; 24; 25]. Indeed, inflorescences development requires a high relative humidity in order to reduce the energy losses caused by perspiration [26; 27]. Conversely, a low relative humidity will slow down the development of those. Moreover, relative humidity influences the inflorescences appearance by vernalization. This phenomenon which is a period of low temperatures and high relative humidity, seems to start the inflorescences appearance. Moreover, Ietswaart *et al.* [28] showed that, in normally, the Flowering Locus C (FLC) gene prevents flowering [29; 30]. However, prolonged exposure to low temperatures, and high relative humidity, induces the accumulation of a protein called Vin3 (Vernalization Insensitive 3) within the cells of the plant whereas Vin3 causes the inactivation the FLC gene, and would start flowering [31-33].

Once the opened buds, the young flowers bloom and can be fecundated to give a young fruit [34; 35]. However, this study reveals that the times elapsing between the first flower opening at the level of the inflorescence and the a young fruit appearance is negatively correlated with temperature [18; 36]. Indeed, high temperatures reduce the time while the low ones lengthen it. Thus, this result shows that temperature affects fecundation and fruiting. In addition, several studies reported a strong influence of temperature on pollen production and pollen tube growth [37-39]. However, except for this physical parameter, it is worth noting that fructification, which is a phenological phenomenon, is also linked to the action of pollinating insects [40; 41] because in mango flowers pollination is primarily entomophilous and crossed [42] .

Relative humidity is positively correlated with this time. Indeed, the longest times were obtained with the inflorescences groups which received the highest relative humidity while the short times were obtained with that received the lowest relative humidity. In addition, sugars are the major constituents of nectars and therefore represent the main energy supply for pollinators [43]. An abundant nectariferous secretion rich in sugars assures an important frequentation of the trees by the insects and, at the same time, makes it possible to obtain better seed yields [44; 45]. As a result, the flowers frequentation by pollinating insects also relates to the sweet taste of nectar. However, a high

relative moisture could reduce the “sweet” taste of nectar (to dilute), and therefore limit pollinating insects visitation [46]. This has the consequence of delaying fertilization, thus to lengthen this period of fruiting. On the other hand, a lower humidity will allow to have a less diluted nectar so sweeter this would cause a much greater attraction of insects [41] and thus to support fecundation quickly, thus shorten the fruiting time.

5. CONCLUSION

The study highlighted a negative relationship between the time elapsing from the inflorescence appearance and the first flower opening as well as the temperature. Inflorescence which received the lowest temperatures had the shortest time between these two phenological events of the inflorescence. In contrast, the inflorescences that received the highest temperatures had much longer times between the inflorescence appearance and the first flower opening. In addition, the study revealed the existence of a positive correlation between the relative humidity, the elapsing delay between the inflorescence appearance and the first flower opening. It is the same for the elapses delay between the first flower opening and the temperature. On the contrary, a positive correlation between this fructification time and the relative humidity was observed.

CONSENT

The author declares that written informed consent was obtained from the participants for publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Hunting Jill, Mikecroft Wine Plant Growth and Climate Change Oxford: Blackmail Publishing Country, 088
2. Vikhla P., Bhutada P., Yadav Law, Yadav Buck. Impact of Climate Change and Fenology of Mang-T Case Studies. NV Kang To 16; 22: 127 – 132
3. Sukhvibul N, Whiley AW, Smith MK, Hetherington SE, Vithanage V. Effect of temperature on inflorescence and floral development in four mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) Cultivars. Sci Hort 1999; 82 (1): 67-84.
- . Pongsomboon W, Stephenson RA, A Asy AW, Subhadrabandu S. Development of water stress and stomatal closure in juvenile mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) trees. Acta Hort 1992; 321: 496-503.

- 313 5. FAOSTAT. Situation du marché Comité des produit deuxième session 4-8 décembre 2001. 2001.
- 314 Available: <http://www.fao.org/docrep/Meeting/004/Y1982F.htm>. Accessed 10 October 2017.
- 315 6. Anonymous Mangue de Côte d'Ivoire Available: [https://goutimot.com/agriculture/productions/](https://goutimot.com/agriculture/productions/cultures/mangue-de-cote-d-ivoire.html)
- 316 [cultures/mangue-de-cote-d-ivoire.html](https://goutimot.com/agriculture/productions/cultures/mangue-de-cote-d-ivoire.html). 2015. Accessed 5 October 2018.
- 317 7. C6. Anonymous Mangue de Côte d'Ivoire Available [http://rnic.ci/IMG/pdf/pacir-2013-](http://rnic.ci/IMG/pdf/pacir-2013-fiche_export_fruits-tropicaux.pdf)
- 318 [fiche_export_fruits-tropicaux.pdf](http://rnic.ci/IMG/pdf/pacir-2013-fiche_export_fruits-tropicaux.pdf). 2013. Accessed 25 June 2018.
- 319 8. FIRCA Bulletin d'information du fonds interprofessionnel for the recruitment and success of
- 320 Nicholas N0 03 / 2e Trimestre, 2008
- 321 9. Hala N., Coulibaly F. Etude diagnostique de l'état sanitaire is a veritable man and acquiring an
- 322 agronomic knowledge of the French culture and French culture that he is familiar with as the French
- 323 and Côte d'Ivoire. Rapport Final, Convention, CNRA / FIRCA Mangue, 2007, 68 p.
- 324 10. Swiftly Crop Production Protocol Manggo (Magnifica Indica). In
- 325 relation: <https://agritrop.cirad.fr/573091/7/573091.pdf>. 2013. Accessed 10 June 2018.
- 326 11. Silva AC, Souza AP, Leonel S, Souza ME, Ramos DP, Tanaka AA. Growth and flowering of five
- 327 mango cultivars under subtropics conditions of Brazil Am J Plant Sci. 2014; 5 (3): 393-402.
- 328 12. Bonhomme R. Bases and limits to using 'degree. day' units Eur J Agron 2000; 13: 1-10.
- 329 13. Parker AK Modelling phenology and maturation of the grapevine *Vitis vinifera* L. : Varietal
- 330 differences and fruit weight ratio manipulations. Thesis of Lincoln University, New Zealand, 258p;
- 331 2012.
- 332 14. Castede S. Génétique moléculaire de la floraison chez le cerisier doux: étude et ingestion de
- 333 déterminisme génétique et moléculaire de la floraison chez le cerisier (*Prunus avium*) for son
- 334 adaptation aux futures conditions climatiques. Thèse de doctorat, Université de Bordeaux, France ;,
- 335 2014.
- 336 15. Joubert JP, Robbertse PJ, Coetzer LA, Wishart DL. Inflorescence characteristics and flower sex
- 337 ratio studies of container-grown mango trees. South African Mango Growers' Association yearbook,
- 338 1993; 13: 27-33.
- 339 16. Muhammad A, Muhammad U, Muhammad JJ, Muhammad MK. Comparative Study of Flower Sex
- 340 Ratio in Different Cultivars of Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). Int. J. Agri. Biol 2002; 4 (2): 220-222.
- 341 17. Davenport TL Processes influencing floral initiation and bloom: the role of phytohormones in a
- 342 conceptual flowering model. Hort Technology 2000; 10: 733-739.

- 343 18. Dambreville A. Croissance et développement du manguier (*Mangifera indica* L.) in natura.
344 Approche expérimentale et modélisation de l'impressionist exemplar, the température, and artisans of
345 architectural architectures. Thèse de l'Université de Montpellier, France, 188 p; 2012.
- 346 19. Shu ZH, Sheen TF. Floral induction in axillary buds of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) as affected by
347 temperature. *Sci Hort* 1987; 31: 81-87.
- 348 20. Yadav IS, Singh H.P. Evaluation of different ecological groups of mango cultivars for flowering
349 and fruiting under subtropics. *Prog Hort* 1985; 17: 165-175.
- 350 21. Talwar H, Karim A, Fukamachi H, Tsukaguchi T, Komori S, Hidaka T. Different levels of irradiance
351 in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) under photosynthetic response to high temperatures. *Jap J Trop Agric*.
352 2001; 45: (2) 77-83.
- 353 22. Singh SK, Sharma RR, Patel VB Influence of pruning intensity on flowering, fruit yields and floral
354 malformation in three mango cultivars planted under high density. *Ind j hort* 2010; 67: 84-89.
- 355 23. Patrick S. etude des Mécanismes de limitation du rendement par températures fraîches chez des
356 variétés de soja (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) De tolérances au froid contrastées. Thèse de doctorat, Ecole
357 polytechnique fédérale de Zürich, Suisse; 1988.
- 358 24. Chacko EK, Reddy YTN, Ananthanarayanan TV Studies on the relationship between leaf number
359 and area and fruit development in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). *J Hort Sci* 1982. 57: 483-492.
- 360 25. Kulkarni VJ. The tri-factor hypothesis of flowering in mango *Acta Hort* 2004; 645: 61-70.
- 361 26. Diggle PK Architectural effects on floral form and function: a review In: Stuessy TF, Mayer V,
362 Hörandl E, eds. *Regnum Vegetabile Deep morphology: Toward a renaissance of morphology in plant*
363 *systematics*. Ruggell, Liechtenstein: Gantner Verlag; 2003.
- 364 27. Ramírez F, Davenport TL Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) flowering physiology. *Hort Sci* 2010; 126:
365 65-72.
- 366 28. Letswaart R, Wu Z, Dean C. Flowering time control: Another window to the connection between
367 antisense RNA and chromatin. *Trends Genet* 2012; 28 (9): 445-453.
- 368 29. Crevillen P, Dean C. Regulation of the floral repressor gene FLC: the complexity of transcription in
369 a chromatin context. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 2011; 14: 38-44
- 370 30. Villar AM. Role of the NuA4 Complex in the Regulation of flowering time in *Arabidopsis thaliana*
371 Autonomous University of Madrid, Spain; 2015

31. Bastow R, Mylne JS, Lister C, Lippman Z, Martienssen RA, Dean C. Vernalization requires epigenetic silencing of FLC by histone methylation. *Nature*. 2004; 427: 164-167.
32. Ramírez F, Davenport TL, Fischer G. The number of leaves required for floral induction and translocation of the florigenic promoter in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) in a tropical climate. *Sci Hort* 2010; 123: 443-453.
33. Crevillen P, Sonmez C, Wu Z, Dean C. A gene loop containing the floral repressor FLC is disrupted in the early phase of vernalization. *Embo J*. 2013; 32: 140-148.
34. Prat R, Mosiniak M, Vonarx V. De La Furur au fruit. Available:<https://www.snv.jussieu.fr/bmedia/Fruit/fleursFruit.htm>; 2005. Accessed 29 June 2018.
35. Sukhvibul N, Eveny AW, Smith MK. Effect of temperature on seed and fruit development in three mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cultivars. *Sci Hort* 2005; 105: 467-474.
36. Ramírez F, Davenport TL Reproductive Biology (Physiology) - The case of mango. In: Thottappilly G, ed. *The Mango USA*: Studium Press LLC; 2012.
37. Hedhly A, Hormaza JI, Herrero M. The effect of temperature on pollen germination, pollen tube growth, and stigmatic receptivity in peach. *Plant Biol* 2005; 7 (5): 476-83
38. Moonen AC, Barberi P. Functional biodiversity: An agroecosystem approach. *Agric. Ecosyst Env*. 2008; 127 (1-2): 7-21.
39. Vitasse Y, Delzon S, Dufrne E, Pontailier JY, Louvet JM, Kremer A, Michalet R. Leaf phenology sensitivity to temperature in European plants: do within-species populations similar responses. *Agric Forest Meteorol* 2009; 149: 735-744.
40. Baurle I, Dean C. The timing of developmental transitions in plants. *Cell* 2006; 125: 655- 664.
41. Desfemmes c. What are the insects pollinisation in the mountains? Available:<https://www.gerbeaud.com/jardin/decouverte/comment-fleurs-attirent-insectes-pollinisateur>, 1066.html; 2015. Accessed 28 June 2018.
42. Chrystel P. Connaissance du fonctionnement des manguiers (*Mangifera indica* L) of the cultivar litter: the efficacy of the croissance and production. *Mémoire d'ingénieur*, Ecole Nationale des Ingénieurs des Travaux Agricoles, Clermont Ferrand, France; 2002.
43. Caroline F, Minh-Hà PD, Marilleau R, Claudine M. Rôle des nectars de tournesol dans co-habitation of pollinisers and analyzes of qualitative and quantitative desalination glucidiques. *Œcol. Applic* 1985; 6 (2): 175-186.

- 402 42. Chrystel P. Connaissance du fonctionnement des manguiers (*Mangifera indica* L) of the cultivar
403 litter: the efficacy of the croissance and production. Mémoire d'ingénieur, Ecole Nationale des
404 Ingénieurs des Travaux Agricoles, Clermont Ferrand, France; 2002.
- 405 43. Caroline F, Minh-Hà PD, Marilleau R, Claudine M. Rôle des nectars de tournesol dans co-
406 habitation of pollinisers and analyzes of qualitative and quantitative desalination glucidiques. *Œcol.*
407 *Applic* 1985; 6 (2): 175-186.
- 408 46. Straub P. La pollinisation: The work of the process and process - a service is available free of
409 chargeAvailable:[https://www.futura-sciences.com/planete/dossiers/developpement-durable-](https://www.futura-sciences.com/planete/dossiers/developpement-durable-pollinisation-service-ecologique-gratuit-970)
410 [pollinisation-service-ecologique-gratuit-970](https://www.futura-sciences.com/planete/dossiers/developpement-durable-pollinisation-service-ecologique-gratuit-970); **2017**. Accessed 28 June 2018.