

Original Research Article

Role of Combined Ash and Terbufos as Preplanting Corm Treatment to Manage Corm Borer Weevils (*Cosmopolites sordidus*) on Plantains and Stimulate Growth

ABSTRACT

The banana borer weevil, *Cosmopolites sordidus* is the most important pest that causes significant damage on banana and plantain in Cameroon. Most farmers in Buea Sub-Division, South West Region, Cameroon believe that applying a combination of Terbufos (Counter 10G®) and wood ash as seed treatments helps to protect suckers from the banana weevil and stimulate plant growth better than their sole forms. The main objective of this research was to evaluate the efficacy of a bio-stimulant botanical pesticide (i.e. combined wood ash and oil palm bunch residue ash) and a conventional synthetic insecticide (Terbufos) applied as seed treatment to manage *C. sordidus* and stimulate the growth of plantains. Laboratory and field experiments (complete randomized blocks) were carried out at the research station of IRAD Ekona, Southwest Cameroon. The experimental setup comprised the following treatments; 5g ash/5g Terbufos, 10g ash/10g Terbufos, 30g ash/30g Terbufos, 20g ash only and 20g Terbufos only, and the control (neither ash nor Terbufos) replicated three times each. The results demonstrated significant treatment effects ($P=0.05$ and $F_{5,54}$), with differences in weevil mortality, repulsion, oviposition on surface of the corm, number of larvae inside the corm and plant growth parameters for treatments with Terbufos compared to the control. Meanwhile, ash did not demonstrate any mortality effect on insects. However, ash showed non-mortality effects in the laboratory as compared to the control, although it was not significantly different ($P=.05$ and $F_{5,54}$). 20g Terbufos only, followed by combination of 5g wood ash and 5g terbufos per 1L of water had the most effective results in enhancing growth parameters and survival of the plants (Percentage Coefficient of Infestation of 30.2 and 65.6 as compared to the 97.9 for the control).

Keywords: *Ash, Bio-stimulant, Crop protection, Musa spp, Pest*

1. INTRODUCTION

Plantains and bananas (*Musa spp*) are of significance to humans [1], with major role as food security crops [2, 3]. Pests and crop nutritional deficiencies limit the production of the crops in Sub-Saharan Africa, which requires sustainable alternative management practices without negative externalities [4].

A major insect pest of *Musa spp* is *C. sordidus* [5, 6, 7]. In Cameroon, these weevils are found in all production zones [6, 8]. Damage is caused by the borer larvae which have the ability to live within the plant tissues where they feed, thereby disrupting the normal functioning of the plant. Tunneling causes death of suckers, snapping, toppling, and deterioration of root system and reduced nutrient uptake or shortened plant life [9, 10].

27 Due to the hidden nature of the larvae, management is difficult [5] and scientists
28 generally agree that no single control strategy could provide complete check on the weevil
29 [11]. This suggests that integration of management options has a better chance (Gold,
30 2001). In Cameroon, the efficacies of several classical insecticides have been evaluated for
31 managing these borer weevils [6, 12]. However, the use of chemicals alone cannot provide
32 proper control of the pest for many years because of the potential ability to develop
33 resistance to chemicals [13].

34 The most commonly used synthetic insecticide in Cameroon is Terbuphos (Counter®
35 10G), an organophosphate which is usually mixed with ash as pre-planting corm treatment
36 for bananas and plantains (Okolle per communication, Hansel Itue per communication).
37 Farmers claim that this combination helps to protect the plant from borer weevils and
38 nematodes better than their sole forms and that the ash also helps to stimulate plant growth.
39 Despite these claims, there seems to be no scientific study or data concerning the effects of
40 combining ash and terbuphos for managing the weevils (*C. sordidus*) and stimulating plant
41 growth performances.

42 Meanwhile, considering the high cost of commercial fertilizer and their adverse effects on
43 the environment [14], farmers are seeking alternative sources of fertilizer that are cheap and
44 environmentally friendly [15]. Wood ash and palm bunch residue ash have been reported to
45 be rich in essential elements such as Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca), Phosphorus (P) and
46 Magnesium (Mg), which are important elements needed for the growth of plants. In addition,
47 ash has been reported to have insecticidal properties [16, 14] and the fertilizing properties
48 [17] have been reported for other crops. Despite these potentials, the use of ash as fertilizer
49 and insecticide to manage *C. sordidus* has not been fully exploited by researchers and
50 farmers.

51 Hence, the main objective of this research was to evaluate the efficacy of a bio-stimulant
52 botanical pesticide (i.e. wood ash and oil palm bunch residue ash-OPBRA) and a
53 commercial synthetic insecticide as corm treatment for managing *C. sordidus* and improving
54 the growth of plantains. Therefore, it was hypothesized that combining the bio-stimulant
55 botanical pesticide with synthetic insecticide is the best-bet corm treatment option for
56 managing *C. sordidus* and to improve the growth of plantains.
57

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Study Site

The research was carried out at the Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD) located at Ekona, Muyuka Sub-Division of Fako Division, South West Region, cameroon. Ekona is situated on the northeastern slopes of Mount Cameroon at 450 meters above sea level [18] and is a fairly warm area with heavy rains during the rainy season, with a mean temperature in the dry season being 24.40c and 23.70c in the rainy season, with high annual humidity of 76 - 90% [19]. The soils are volcanic and very fertile, making Ekona a suitable agricultural area.

2.2. Trapping, Collection, Rearing and Sexing of Weevils

Adult weevils were obtained from banana plants around Ekona research center and from traps, which were set in the field using pseudostem traps [20] and maintained in the laboratory. Using a hand lens and a light microscope, the sexes were differentiated based on curvature of the last abdominal segment which is more inwardly curved in males than in females as well as punctuations on the rostrum.

2.3. Laboratory Experiments

Transparent plastic containers (13cm x 13cm x 7cm) with perforated lids for aeration were used. The plantain variety used in the field and the laboratory was "Ebanga". a knife was used to remove the roots and other materials from the corm were removed using a knife, making sure there are no holes, eggs or weevils/larvae, thereby ensuring that the corms were considered as clean and uninfected by pests.

2.3.1. Effect of combined wood ash and terbuphos on mortality, repulsion, oviposition and number of larvae in the corm

The treatments (T) were, T1 = control (water), T2 = (5g wood ash + 5g terbuphos)/1l of water, T3 = 10g wood ash + 10g terbuphos)/1l of water, T4 = (30g wood ash + 30g terbuphos)/1l of water, T5 = (20g wood ash only)/1l of water, T6 = (20g terbuphos only)/1l of water. ash used was collected from households that burnt rubber wood as fuel wood.

Clean corms of size 4cm x 4cm were soaked in the various treatments for 10 minutes and air-dried for 15-20 minutes after which they were placed in plastic test containers. 10 weevils (5males and 5females) were introduced in each container away from the corms and covered. Observations and data were recorded for 10days, in which case cumulative number of dead adults, number of weevils repelled and numbers of eggs laid on corm surfaces were counted daily and recorded. Weevils were moved away from the corm daily after each record. After 15 days, the corms were dissected using a knife, a blade and a magnifying table lens and the numbers of larvae in the corms were counted and recorded.

2.3.2. Effect of combined terbuphos and opbra on mortality, repulsion, oviposition and number of weevil larvae in corm

The experiment was conducted following the same protocol as for wood ash with same observations, and with the following treatments; T1 = control (water), T2 = (5g opbra + 5g terbuphos)/1l of water, T3 = 10g opbra + 10g terbuphos)/1l of water, T4 = (30g opbra + 30g terbuphos)/1l of water, T5 = (20g opbra only)/1l of water, T6 = (20g terbuphos only)/1l of water. opbra was obtained from a local oil palm processing mill.

2.4. Field Experiment

A randomized block design was used in the field and consisted of 6 treatments based on the results obtained in the laboratory whereby the minimum effective dose of the various treatments was used. The treatments were as follows; T1 = control (nothing), T2 = (5g wood ash + 5g terbuphos)/1l of water, T3 = 5g opbra + 5g terbuphos)/1l of water, T4 = (20g wood ash only)/1l of water, T5 = (20g opbra only)/1l of water, T6 = (20g terbuphos only)/1l of water.

The treatments were replicated thrice with each replicate having 10 plants. The suckers used in the field were pared or cleaned using a knife by removing the roots, other debris and the outer skin of the corm and available holes. After that, they were soaked in the various

111 treatment solutions in bags for 10 minutes, after which they were removed and air-dried for
112 20 minutes. The treated suckers were then -planted in the dug holes. Each treatment
113 consisted of 30 plants, resulting in a grand total of 180 plants planted in the field. One week
114 after planting, weevils were introduced in the field at the rate of 10 weevils per plant (i.e. 5
115 males and 5 females).

116

117 **2.5. Plantain Performance**

118 The assessment of plantain growth parameters such as the height of plants, the stem
119 girth and the number of healthy leaves (i.e. leaves with little or no damaged areas and
120 brown/black spots) was performed once per month during seven months (March to
121 September) of field experimental period.

122

123 **2.6. Corm Damage Assessment**

124 At the end of the field experiment, corm damage by *C. sordidus* was assessed using
125 Coefficient of Infestation - CI [21] for peripheral or external damage and percentage
126 coefficient of infestation – pci for internal damage [22, 23]. Entire plants were dug up and the
127 soil around them was removed and then washed to count healthy roots. Thereafter, CI was
128 obtained by using a sharp knife to pare 50% of each corm, and the level of damage was
129 estimated using the CI indices below:

130 0=no damage of weevil galleries

131 5=traces of weevil galleries

132 10=intermediate between 5 and 20

133 20=galleries present on approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ of the sampled zone

134 30=intermediate between 20 and 30

135 40=galleries on approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ of sampled zone

136 60 = galleries on approximately $\frac{3}{4}$ of sampled zone

137 100= galleries present on totality of sampled zone

138

139 To estimate the PCI, entire corms were pared and then sectioned cross-wise directly on
140 the collar. The total internal area of the sectioned corm was divided into eight sections and
141 each section was examined for weevil damage. The number of damage or infested section
142 was considered as a fraction on eight and expressed as a percentage ($PCI = \frac{t}{8} \times 100$, where
143 t is the number of weevil infested sections, 8 = total number of sections cut).

144 **2.7. Data analysis.**

145 Using descriptive statistics, the mean, variance and standard deviations were obtained.
146 The data were log (n+1) transformed to normalize the data or enable data homogeneity,
147 thereby eliminating or reducing errors. The transformed data were analysed using analysis
148 of variance (ANOVA), and for cases where there were significant differences (rejection of
149 null hypotheses), the means were separated by Tukey's hsd test [24] at 5% or 1% level of
150 significance. Using the Statdisk Software Version 9.1 [25], selected variables were subjected
151 to correlation and regression analysis (both involving use of scatter grams with embedded
152 linear trends, coefficient of determination, product moment correlation coefficient). These
153 analyses were carried out at significant levels (α) = .05 or .01

154

155

156 **3. RESULTS**


157 **3.1. Laboratory Experiments**

158 **3.1.1. Mortality and non – mortality effects of combined wood ash and Terbuphos**

159 Not all the weevils in treatments with Terbuphos died at the end of the experiment, as
160 some of them were still able to shake either the legs or antennae. From Figure 1, no

161 mortality was recorded in the control and significant differences occurred between the
 162 control and 20g Terbuphos and between 20g wood ash and 20g Terbuphos according to
 163 Tukey's HSD test at 95% confidence interval $\{F_{5,54} = 7.58, \text{Tukey's value } (T) = 17.7, P =$
 164 $.05\}$.

165 As for repulsion (Figure 1), only the control had zero percentage of repelled weevils while
 166 all treatments containing Terbuphos recorded the same level of repulsion which was greater
 167 than the control and 20g wood ash only. 20g Terbuphos recorded the highest level of
 168 repulsion with a mean percentage repulsion of 95.7%. There was no significant difference
 169 between the control and 20g wood ash only ($F_{5,54} = 71.58, T = 79, P = .05$). However, 20g
 170 wood ash recorded some level of repellence as some of the weevils were observed to still
 171 walk around in the test container and away from the corm treated with wood ash, as
 172 compared to those in the control which remained attached to the corms. Also, weevils in 20g
 173 wood ash were less active as compared to those in the control, In addition to this, all weevils
 174 in 20g wood ash were found to remain only on the corm surfaces, while those in the control
 175 were able to bore and live inside the corms.



176 **Figure 1:** Cumulative mean percentage of dead and repelled weevils after applying the
 177 different treatments in the laboratory (T1 = Control - water), T2 = (5g wood ash + 5g
 178 terbuphos)/1L of water, T3 = 10g wood ash + 10g terbuphos)/1L of water, T4 = (30g wood
 179 ash + 30g terbuphos)/1L of water, T5 = (20g wood ash only)/1L of water, T6 = (20g
 180 terbuphos only) per 1L of water). Bars with the same letters are not significantly different
 181 from each other according to Tukey's HSD 95% confidence interval.
 182

183
 184 Eggs laid on the surface of corms were seen only in the control whereas none was found
 185 on corms of the 20g wood ash treatments and in all the treatments with Terbuphos. There
 186 was no significant difference between the control and all the other treatments ($F_{5,54} = 5.62, T$
 187 $= 0.68, P = .05$) (Figure 2). After dissection of corms, no larvae were found in the treatments
 188 with Terbuphos. Although there were more larvae in the control than in 20g wood ash, there
 189 was no significant difference between these two treatments according to Turkey's Test at
 190 95% confidence interval level ($F_{5,12} = 16.5, T = 4, P = .05$), (Figure 2).

191
 192




Figure 2: Mean number of eggs on corm surface and larvae in dissected corms in the laboratory (T1 = Control (water), T2 = (5g wood ash + 5g terbuphos)/1L of water, T3 = 10g wood ash + 10g terbuphos)/1L of water, T4 = (30g wood ash + 30g terbuphos)/1L of water, T5 = (20g wood ash only)/1L of water, T6 = (20g terbuphos only)/1L of water). Bars with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Tukey's HSD 95% confidence interval.

3.1.2. Mortality and non – mortality effects of combined OPBRA and Terbuphos

Not all weevils in treatments containing Terbuphos were found dead after 15 days, as some were able to shake their antennae or legs although very weak and unable to walk. No mortalities were recorded in the control and sole 20g OPBRA treatments (Figure 3). Although mortality of weevils varied among treatments comprising terbuphos, these were not significantly different ($F_{5,54} = 3.19$, $T = 9.2$, $P = .05$) (Figure 3). Highest percentage of dead weevils was recorded in 30g Terbuphos+30g OPBRA with mean percentage mortality of 22%, and followed by 18.7% for 20g Terbuphos. All the treatments containing Terbuphos had above 90% repulsion and were not significantly different ($F_{5,54} = 2.39$, $TS = 79.80$, $P = .05$). However, they were greater than and significantly different from the control and sole 20g OPBRA treatments ($F_{5,54} = 2.39$, $TS = 79.80$, $P = .05$) (Figure 3). 20g Terbuphos recorded the highest level of repulsion with a mean repulsion of 96.7% followed by 94% for 30g Terbuphos/30g OPBRA. There was no significant difference between the control and 20g OPBRA as revealed by Turkey's Test at 95% confidence interval level ($F_{5,54} = 2.39$, $TS = 79.80$, $P = .05$). OPBRA was observed to actually show greater repellence as compared to wood ash, with at least one weevil found away from the corm each day. In the 20g OPBRA treatments, weevils were less active compared to the control.




Figure 3: Mean percentage of weevils dead and repelled from the different treatments (T1 = Control (water), T2 = (5g OPBRA + 5g terbuphos)/1L of water, T3 = 10g OPBRA + 10g terbuphos)/1L of water, T4 = (30g OPBRA + 30g terbuphos)/1L of water, T5 = (20g OPBRA only)/1L of water, T6 = (20g terbuphos only) per 1L of water). Bars with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Tukey's HSD 95% confidence interval

With the exception of corms in the control, no eggs were laid on corm surfaces of the other treatments and according to ANOVA, there were significant differences between the treatments (Critical $F = 2.39$, Test statistic ($F_{5,54} = 3.86$, $P = .05$)(Figure 4). Although no eggs were laid on the OPBRA treated corm surfaces, larvae were found inside the corms after dissection. The control had the highest mean number of larvae (16.7) as compared to a mean of 12.3 in 20g OPBRA and these were significantly different ($F_{5,12} = 21$, $T = 3.2$, $P = .05$). Meanwhile, no larva was found in treatments with Terbuphos.




Figure 4: Mean number of eggs laid on corm surface and number of weevil larvae inside the corm (T1 = Control (water), T2 = (5g OPBRA + 5g terbuphos)/1L of water, T3 = 10g OPBRA + 10g terbuphos)/1L of water, T4 = (30g OPBRA + 30g terbuphos)/1L of water, T5 = (20g

OPBRA only)/1L of water, T6 = (20g terbuphos only)/1L of water). Bars with the same letters are not significantly different from each other according to Tukey's HSD 95% confidence interval.

3.2. Field Experiment

3.2.1. Effects of combined OPBRA and terbuphos on plantain growth

The best treatment to enhance the growth and survival of the plants was the 20g of terbufos only (Table 1) and this was significantly different from other treatments ($F_{5,24} = 4.57$, $T = 2.4$, $P = .05$). Although there were variations in the parameters, there were no significant differences between the sole wood ash and sole OPBRA treatments. However, combination of wood ash with terbufos significantly enhanced growth parameters and survival of the plants compared to combination with OPBRA (Table 1). The 20g sole terbufos treatment had no dead plants but this was not significantly different from the treatment consisting of combination of wood ash and terbufos ($F_{5,24} = 4.57$, $T = 2.4$, $P = .05$).

Table 1: Mean numbers ($\pm$ SE) of dead plants, number of healthy leaves, healthy roots, the girth and the height of plants from the different treatments in the field. (T1 = Control (water), T2 = (5g wood ash + 5g terbuphos)/1L of water, T3 = 5g OPBRA + 5g terbuphos)/1L of water, T4 = (20g wood ash only)/1L of water, T5 = (20g OPBRA only)/1L of water, T6 = (20g terbuphos only)/1L of water). Means with the same letters within a column are not significantly different from each other according to Tukey's HSD 95% confidence.

Treatments	No. Dead Plants	No. Healthy Leaves	No. Healthy Roots	Girth (Cm)	Height (Cm)
T1	7.8 $\pm$ 0.21A	0.9 $\pm$ 0.04 A	8.1 $\pm$ 0.43 A	5.5 $\pm$ 0.24 A	22.5 $\pm$ 0.37 A
T2	1.6 $\pm$ 0.11 B	2.7 $\pm$ 0.1 B	25.5 $\pm$ 0.47 B	11.2 $\pm$ 0.13 B	59.8 $\pm$ 0.38 B
T3	5.2 $\pm$ 0.18 C	1.3 $\pm$ 0.06 A	6.4 $\pm$ 0.36 A	6.8 $\pm$ 0.21 A	31.8 $\pm$ 0.30 A
T4	5.2 $\pm$ 0.18 C	1.8 $\pm$ 0.08 C	17.6 $\pm$ 0.55 A	7.7 $\pm$ 0.18 A	39.8 $\pm$ 0.23 A
T5	4.8 $\pm$ 0.18 C	1.8 $\pm$ 0.07 C	13.2 $\pm$ 0.45 A	8 $\pm$ 0.16 A	44.8 $\pm$ 0.31 A
T6	0 $\pm$ 0 B	3.9 $\pm$ 0.13 D	50 $\pm$ 0.44 C	15.3 $\pm$ 0.13 C	88.7 $\pm$ 0.48 C

3.2.2. Association between plantain growth parameters and dead plants

From Table 2, the parameters or variables compared were strongly correlated and these correlations were highly significant at $\alpha = .01$. With the exception of association of mean healthy roots and mean dead plants, all other pairs had coefficient of determination values (R^2) above 0.9. In addition, only associations of mean healthy roots/leaves with mean number of dead plants were negatively correlated.

Table 2: Coefficient of determinations (R^2), product moment correlation coefficients (r), and regression equations between plantain growth parameters and dead plants.

Parameters (Mean Values)	Regression Equation	Statistic			Significance Level (α)	Observation
		R^2	Critical r	r		
Height/Healthy Roots	$Y = 0.6645X - 11.696$	0.9399	0.9172	0.9695	.01	Highly Significant
Height/Healthy Leaves	$Y = 0.0457X - 0.1221$	0.9938	0.9172	0.9969	.01	Highly Significant
Healthy Roots / Girth	$Y = 4.396X - 19.797$	0.9490	0.9172	0.9742	.01	Highly Significant
Healthy Leaves / Girth	$Y = 3.3018X + 2.2596$	0.9929	0.9172	0.9172	.01	Highly Significant
Healthy Roots / No. Dead Plants	$Y = -5.122X + 41.134$	0.795	0.811	-0.8917	.05	Significant
Healthy Leaves / No. Dead Plants	$Y = -0.3717X + 3.5905$	0.9359	0.9172	-0.9674	.01	Highly Significant

3.2.3. Effects of combined ash and Terbufos on corm damage by weevils

The least damage in the outer and inner cylinder was in the sole 20g Terbufos treatments (34.4 and 30.2% for the outer and inner cylinder, respectively) followed by 5g wood ash/5g Terbufos while the highest damage occurred in the control with 100 CI for outer cylinder and 97.9 PCI for inner cylinder. These treatments were significantly different from each other according to Turkey's Test at 95% confidence interval ($F_{5,66} = 11.89$, $T = 11.8$, $P = .05$ for external corm damage and $F_{5,66} = 7.8$, $T = 15.2$, $P = .05$ for internal corm damage.) (Table 3). Generally, treating plants before planting demonstrated some level of protection of the corms. This is shown by less outer and inner damage of the corms in 5g OPBRA/5g Terbufos, 20g wood ash and 20g OPBRA as compared to the control, but there was no significant differences between these treatments as revealed by Turkey's Test at 95% confidence interval ($F_{5,66} = 11.89$, $T = 11.8$, $P = .05$ for external corm damage and $F_{5,66} = 7.8$, $T = 15.2$, $P = .05$ for internal corm damage.). Furthermore, there was a strong positive and highly significant correlation between the external corm damage indices (CI) and the internal corm damage estimates (PCI) ($r = 0.9984$, critical $r = 0.9172$, and $\alpha = .01$)

307 **Table 3: Mean coefficient of infestation (CI) and percentage coefficient of infestation**
 308 **(PCI) as a result of *C. sordidus* damage on corms in the field. (T1 = Control (water), T2**
 309 **= (5g wood ash + 5g terbuphos)/1L of water, T3 = 5g OPBRA + 5g terbuphos)/1L of water,**
 310 **T4 = (20g wood ash only)/1L of water, T5 = (20g OPBRA only)/1L of water, T6 = (20g**
 311 **terbuphos only)/1L of water). Means with the same letters in a column are not significantly**
 312 **different from each other according to Tukey's HSD 95% confidence interval**

Treatments	External Corm Damage (CI)	Internal Corm Damage (PCI)
T1 (Control (Water)	100 ± 0.0A	97.9 ± 0.27A
T2 (5g Wood Ash + 5g Terbuphos)/1l Of Water	68.8 ± 0.57B	65.6 ± 0.62B
T3 (5g Opbra + 5g Terbuphos)/1l Of Water	91.7 ± 0.45AC	90.6 ± 0.54A
T4 (20g Wood Ash Solely)/1l Of Water	88.5 ± 0.47AD	86.5 ± 0.52 A
T5 (20g Opbra Solely)/1l Of Water	85.4 ± 0.52CD	86.5 ± 0.57A
T6 (20g Terbuphos Solely)/1l Of Water).	34.4 ± 0.53E	30.2 ± 0.63C

313
 314 **3.2.4. Association of internal corm damage (PCI) with growth parameters and dead**
 315 **plants**

316 With exception of the mean number of dead plants, the internal corm damage estimate
 317 (PCI) was negatively correlated to the plantain growth parameters (Table 4).
 318 Table 4: Coefficient of determinations (R^2), product moment correlation coefficients (r), and
 319 regression equations for internal corm damage estimates (Percentage Coefficient of
 320 Infestation - PCI) with growth parameters and dead plants.

Parameters (Mean Values)	Regression Equation	Statistics			Significant Level (A)	Remarks
		R ²	R	CRITICAL R		
PCI And Height	Y = -0.9303x+118.8	0.9688	-0.9843	0.9172	0.01	Strong Negative And Highly Significant
PCI And Healthy Leaves	Y = -0.0426x+5.3144	0.9675	-0.9836	0.9172	0.01	Strong Negative And Highly Significant
PCI And Healthy Roots	Y = -0.6372x+68.695	0.9674	-0.9836	0.9172	0.01	Strong Negative And Highly Significant
Pci And Girth	Y = -0.1425x+19.945	0.9855	-0.9927	0.9172	0.01	Strong Negative And Highly Significant
Pci And Dead Plants	Y = 0.1057x-3.9589	0.8792	0.9377	0.9172	0.01	Strong Positive And Highly Significant

321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Mortality and Non-Mortality Effects of Combining Ash and Terbuphos

In this study, ash showed repellence to the weevils more than the control although the difference was not significant ($P = .05$) (Figure 1& 3). This indicates that it could be used in the field to reduce weevil population infesting the plants. This is in conformity with [16] where insect population decreased on maize plants treated with wood ash as compared to the control treatment. Although ash showed no mortality on the weevils, it made them less active and less mobile. Continuous application or increased dose could cause mortality. [26] reported toxicity of wood ash to Colorado potato beetles. The fact that fewer eggs and no eggs were found on the corm surfaces in the control and ash treatments, as compared to the number of larvae found inside the corm, confirms their habit of egg laying in holes created by the ovipositing females as reported by [5].

All weevils in ash treatments were found on the surface of the corms as compared to those in the control that were found underneath the corms. This suggests that, ash creates

an environment which is not favourable for the adult weevils. This supports the hypothesis that a combination of ash and Terbuphos is the best-bet treatment for controlling the pest as compared to their sole forms. Fewer larvae observed in ash treatments as compared to the control although not significantly different ($P = .05$). This also shows that ash has an effect on weevil oviposition as well as egg hatching and therefore reducing the number of larvae and their damage within corms.

4.2. Plantain Growth Parameters and Weevil Damage

The results showed that pre-planting treatments were very effective in ensuring plant survival despite the presence of the pest. The significant differences between the control and all other treatments implied that, ash and Terbuphos improved protection against the banana weevil and stimulated growth parameters. [14] noticed a significantly improved effect of palm bunch ash on yield of groundnuts while [16] reported a reduction in insect population, number of damaged leaves and number of holes on maize plants when maize seeds were treated with wood ash before planting.

The application of 20g Terbuphos only, showed the highest improved effect on plant survival, plant height, plant girth and number of healthy leaves. This could be due to the high concentration and degree of effectiveness of the active ingredient over calcium carbonate found in wood ash. In addition, terbufos is an effective nematicide with insecticidal effects in Cameroon [6]. Protection of the roots allowed adequate water and minerals to enter the plant and ensure healthy growth and survival.

Beside the effects of Terbuphos and ash on weevils, plant growth essential elements like Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca) and Magnesium (Mg) from ash may have also added to the nutrient supply of the suckers and aided increase in their growth parameters [27]. [14] who reported that ash contains varying amounts of essential elements like Ca, P, K and Mg, which are vital elements needed by plants for growth. [28] further reported that application of kitchen residue ash and palm bunch ash increased plant height and stem diameter in maize. Meanwhile, ash and ash-derived composts have high liming potential and reportedly improved soil physical, biological and chemical properties [29, 30]. However, the combination of ash and terbuphos is advantageous for seed/corm treatment to control pests and stimulate plant growth through nutrient supply for the local smallholder farmers because there is no agrochemical that can be used as seed treatment to achieve both pest control and enhance nutrient supply [16].

Twenty gram (20g) Terbuphos had the highest number of healthy roots and the least damage in the outer and inner cylinder of corms (Table 1 and 3), indicating that the plants had the most effective protection against weevil damage, giving the plants a chance to develop more roots. [31] reported that weevil attack interferes with root initiation, destroys existing roots and weakens the support structure of the plant. The 20g ash only also had increased root number although not significantly different from the control. This suggests that, if the ash was applied constantly, there could be great significant differences. [28] reported an increase in maize roots as a result of the use of kitchen ash. Five gram (5g) wood ash/5g Terbuphos also had significant increase in plant growth parameters and root number better than using ash only (20g wood ash only or 20g palm bunch ash only) and the control, indicating its effectiveness as pre-planting seed treatment. The highest number of dead plants and decreased growth parameters observed in the control is due to the fact that neither ash nor Terbuphos was applied. This demonstrates the importance of corm management/treatment practices for reducing pest infestation and plantain damage. Hence, the more farmers ignore the use of insecticidal solutions or plant biomass materials on their farm, the more the farm is exposed to high infestation and nutrient deficiency, which eventually result in dead plants and low yield

5. CONCLUSION

Wood ash and oil palm bunch residue ash increased plantain growth and reduced damage by the borer weevils. However, when combined with terbuphos and applied on corms before planting, wood ash demonstrated better performance. considering the fact that there is no locally available commercial agrochemical that can be used for both seed treatment and pest control while simultaneously supplying nutrients to the soil and stimulating plant growth, the combination of ash and terbuphos as corm treatment before planting is the best-bet sustainable alternative for controlling banana weevils, improving soil characteristics and stimulating plant growth as compared to their sole application forms. Therefore, the results show the incorporation of two important concepts; integrated pest management and integrated soil fertility management to enhance productivity of smallholder plantain production systems in sub-saharan Africa.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Thomas AO, Edwin SB, Abidemi FO. Nutrient composition and contribution of plantain (*Musa paradisiacea*) products to dietary diversity of Nigerian consumers. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2012; 11(71):13601-13605.
2. Adejoro MA., Odubanjo AO, Fagbola BO. Research focus on banana and plantain (*Musa* spp): Nigerian Perspectives: Proceedings of International Conference on Banana and Plantain in Africa. Eds: T, Dubois *et al.*, *Acta Hort*. 2008; (879): 859-864.
3. Hauser S, Amougou D.. Plantain (*Musa* spp.) cropping systems of Southern Cameroon: Proceedings of International Conference on Banana and Plantain in Africa. Eds: T, Dubois *et al.*, *Acta Hort*. 2008; (879): 495-508.
4. Perez CJ, Alvarado P, Narvaez C, Miranda F, Hernandez L, Vanegas H, Hrusk A, Shelto, AM. Assessment of insecticide resistance in five insect pests attacking field and vegetable crops in Nicaragua. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 2000; (93): 1779-1787.
5. Gold CS. Biology and integrated pest management of banana weevil, *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar). *Advancing Banana and Plantains R and D in Asia and the Pacific*. 2001; (10):28-33.
6. Okolle JN, Fansi GH, Lombi FM, Sama-Lang P, Loubana PM.. Banana Entomological Research in Cameroon: How Far and What Next? *The African Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology*. 2009; 3(1):1-19.
7. Duyck P F, Lavigne A, Vinatier F, Achard R, Okolle JN, Tixier P.. Addition of a new resource in agroecosystems: Do cover crops alter the trophic positions of generalist predators? *Basic and Applied Ecology*. 2010; 1-9
8. Okolle JN, Mboueda GK. Distribution. Distribution of three weevil species in the various growth stages and residues of plantains (*Musa* spp) in Littoral region of Cameroon. *Journal of the Cameroon Academy of Sciences*. 2016; 13 (1&2).
9. Akello J, Dubois T, Coyne D, Kyamanywa S.. Endophytic *Beauveria bassiana* in banana (*Musa* spp) reduces banana weevil (*Cosmopolites sordidus*) fitness and damage. *Crop Protection*. 2008; 27:1437-1441.
10. Gold CS, Kagezi GH, Night G, Ragama PE.. The effects of banana weevil, *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) damage on highland banana growth, yield and stand duration in Uganda. *Annals of Applied Biology*. 2004; 145: 263-269.
11. Karamura EB, Gold CS. The elusive banana weevil *Cosmopolites sordidus* Germar. *Proc. I. Int. Symp. On banana and plantain for Africa*. Eds. K. Craenen *et al.*, *Acta Hort*. 2000; (540) 471 – 485.

- 442 12. Mongyeh ET, Ndamukong KJN, Okolle JN. Effects of insecticides with different modes
443 of action in the control of banana weevils. Journal of the Cameroon Academy of
444 Sciences. 2015; 12 (1).
- 445 13. Gold CS, Pena JE, Karamura EB.. Biology and integrated pest management for the
446 banana weevil, *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Integrated
447 Pest Management Reviews. 2003; 6:79-155.
- 448 14. Oguzor NS. Effect of palm bunch ash on growth of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*).
449 Research Journal of Agronomy. 2007: 1(2): 59 – 61.
- 450 15. Baldi E, Toselli M, Eissenstat DM, Marangoni B. . Organic fertilization leads to
451 increased peach root production and lifespan. Tree Physiology. 2010 ; 30: 1373-1382.
- 452 16. Moyin-Jesus EI.. Comparative evaluation of modified neem leaf, wood ash and neem
453 leaf extracts for seed treatment and pest control in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Emir. Journal of
454 Food and Agriculture. 2010; 22(1):37-45.
- 455 17. Kuba T, Tschöll A, Partl C, Meyer K, Insam H.. Wood Ash Admixture to Organic
456 Wastes Improves Compost and Its Performance. Agriculture, Ecosystem and
457 Environment. 2008; 127: 43-49.
- 458 18. Price NS.. Alternate cropping in the management of *Radopholus similis* and
459 *Cosmopolites sordidus*, two important pests of banana and plantain. International
460 Journal of Pest Management. 1994; 40:237-244.
- 461 19. Etchu KA, Ndzi VN, Ndamukong KJ, Oben B. Comparative performance of grass cutters
462 (*Thryonomys swinderianus*) fed maize and rodent pellets as concentrate supplement
463 under intensive management system in Cameroon. African Journal of Agricultural
464 Research. 2012; 7(6):883 – 891.
- 465 20. Gold CS, Pena JE, Karamura EB.. Biology and integrated pest management for the
466 banana weevil, *Cosmopolites sordidus* (Germar) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Integrated
467 Pest Management Reviews. 2003; 6:79-155.
- 468 21. Vilardebo A. Le coefficient d'infestation. Critere d'evaluation du degre d'attaques des
469 bananeraies par *Cosmopolites sordidus* – le charancon noir du bananier. Fruits 1973 ;
470 28(6), 417-426
- 471 22. Messiaen S. Components of a strategy for the integrated management of the banana
472 weevil (*Cosmopolites sordidus*) (Germar)(Coleoptera: curculioniidae). PhD thesis,
473 Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, 2002; 167pp.
- 474 23. Kiggundu A, Pillay M, Viljoen A, Gold CS, Tushemereirwe W, Kunert K. Enhancing
475 banana weevil (*Cosmopolites sordidus*) resistance by plant genetic modification. A
476 perspective. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2003; 2(12):563-569.
- 477 24. Fowler J, Cohan L, Jarvis P. Practical statistics for field biology (2nd edition). John Wiley
478 & Sons, Chichester UK, 1998; 257pp.
- 479 25. Triola MF, Gilmanrtin W, Solberg E, Abueisaad T. Statdisk 9.1 for elementary statistics
480 2003; 9th edition, Pearson Education.
- 481 26. Boiteau G, Singh RP, Mccarthy PC, Mackinley PD. Wood ash potential for Colorado
482 potato beetle control. American Journal of Potato Research. 2012; 89: 129-135.
- 483 27. Udoetok IA. Characterization of ash made from oil palm empty fruit bunches (oefb).
484 International Journal of Environmental Sciences 2012; 3: 518-524.
- 485 28. Onwuka MI, Osodeke VE, Ano AO. Use of liming materials to reduce soil acidity and
486 affect maize (*Zea mays* L.) growth parameters in Umudike, Southeast Nigeria.
487 Production Agriculture and Technology. 2009; 5(2): 386 – 396.
- 488 29. Noble AD, Zenneck I, Randall PJ. Leaf Litter Ash Alkalinity and Neutralisation of Soil
489 Acidity. Plant and Soil, 1996; 179: 293-302.
- 490 30. Bougnom BP, Knapp BA, Elhottová D, Koubovác A, Etoa FX, Insam H.. Designer
491 Compost with Biomass Ashes for Ameliorating Acid Tropical Soils: Effects on the Soil
492 Microbiota. Applied Soil Ecology, 2010; 45: 319-324.

- 493 31. Traore L, Gold CS, Pilon JG, Boivin G. Effects of temperature on embryonic
494 development of banana weevil *Cosmopolites sordidus* Germar. African Crop Science
495 Journal. 1993; 1(2): 111 – 116.
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508