



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44620
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of dimethylsulfoxide on the postharvest conservation and quality of strawberry and peach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is accepted with minor changes. It has scientific quality, good statistical support and the parameters evaluated were adequate with accordance to research type. Few errors were founded during the revision. Title: Is ok Abstract: Is ok Introduction: Is ok, only add the importance of strawberry and peach production in Brazil and Explain the economic losses during postharvest in both fruits. Materials and methods: Is ok. Only line 45 write 'Chiripa' not 'Chiripá'. Line 46 write Western and line 63 write mm s⁻¹ Results and discussion: Improve Figs 1,2,3 and 4. Line 128. Is Li et al. or Zhou et al. Line 180. Write ATT not Tta. Reference: The reference 19 is lacking inside manuscript. Line 239 write Dhital R. Line 244 EmeOrging?. Line 251 write all authors not et al. Line 278. CRLDMB? Check please Line 286 write Broeto L. (MCC?) WRITE Barp Line 29. Write Wei Y Lines 308, 314 and 317 to uniformize there references.	The reviser's suggestions were accepted and corrected in the manuscript. Due to the inclusion of paragraph 3 lines 32-42, page 2. The order of numbering of the references in the text has been altered.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	The authors declare that there are no ethical issues in this manuscript.