



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44620
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of dimethylsulfoxide on the postharvest conservation and quality of strawberry and peach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Delete 'for the first time' in first line of objective in abstract. Replace the word 'preservation' with 'storage' in 2nd line. Replace the word 'occurred' in 2nd line of Methodology in abstract by 'were done'. Delete 'was the dose that' in first line of Result of abstract. 2. Key words: strawberry, peach, DMSO treatment, storage and quality. 3. In lines 32 to 34 remove numbering and place comma. 4. Replace 'acquired' by 'collected in line 45. 5. In line 48 write as 'peaches were harvested at whitish green stage' 6. line 49-50: write as 'Fruits of uniform in size, shape and color and without signs of mechanical damage or symptoms of disease were rigorously selected for experimental sample'. 7. all FWL should be written as PLW (Physiological Losses in Weight)	Corrections suggested by the reviewer were met and highlighted in the manuscript. The authors agree with the suggestions.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	The authors declare that there are no ethical issues in this manuscript.