



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44619
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic Performance of Sunflower Hybrids in Brazilian Savannah Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Are your tables' results for 2014 or 2015? Pleases report results for both years. Interaction table should be reported for hybrids and years interaction. Results must be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.	The objective of the study was to evaluate the agronomic performance of sunflower hybrids in the Brazilian Savannah and did not select hybrids for specific years. The two-year evaluation aims to obtain more reliable data, since the climatic conditions in this region vary according to the year, as can be seen in the figures that show the climatic data directly influencing the development of the plants. Thus, the hybrids were compared using the average data obtained in two years. It does not make sense to select hybrids for specific years. References and citations followed the guidelines of the journal. The most recent references published in surveys conducted in the Brazilian Cerrado were cited.
Minor REVISION comments	Minor corrections should be corrected as highlighted and indicated in the manuscript.	All corrections were made.
Optional/General comments	Introduction and Methodology were Clear and understandable. Are your tables' results for 2014 or 2015? Pleases report results for both years. Interaction table should be reported for hybrids and years interaction. Results must be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines. The manuscript should be accepted for publication after major and minor corrections.	The objective of the study was to evaluate the agronomic performance of sunflower hybrids in the Brazilian Savannah and did not select hybrids for specific years. The two-year evaluation aims to obtain more reliable data, since the climatic conditions in this region vary according to the year, as can be seen in the figures that show the climatic data directly influencing the development of the plants. Thus, the hybrids were compared using the average data obtained in two years. It does not make sense to select hybrids for specific years. References and citations followed the guidelines of the journal. The most recent references published in surveys conducted in the Brazilian Cerrado were cited.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	