



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44284
Title of the Manuscript:	Water and pulp quality of green coconut produced with mulching and irrigation frequency
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Headings in the abstract may be deleted if it is not mandatory as per publication policy. - In key words the botanical name should be replaced as Green coconut. - in line 50: Vegetable matter can be written as 'plant materials' - line 68: delete the word 'dwarf'. - Line 81: Physical-chemical should be written as 'Physico-chemical' - Line 114: rewrite as 'Quality parameters of green coconut water' - Line 201: rewrite as 'Quality parameters of coconut pulp' - Elaborate the conclusion scientifically.	- the titles in the abstract were deleted. - In key words the botanical name has been replaced by green coconut. - on line 50: vegetable matter has been replaced by 'plant material' - line 68: the word "dwarf" was deleted. - Line 81: Physical-chemical was written as "Physico-chemical". - Line 114: we rewrite as "Quality Parameters of Green Coconut Water". - Line 201: we rewrite as "Quality Parameters of Coconut Pulp" - the conclusion was drawn up scientifically. The remarks are in yellow in the article.
Minor REVISION comments		-
Optional/General comments		-

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Do not exist.