



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44273
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical quality changes of Minimally processed Young Cladodes of "Mexican Elephant Ear" during refrigerated storage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Comment [S1]: Suggested title

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Title needs to be modified the suggested title "Physico-chemical quality changes of Minimally processed Young Cladodes of "Mexican Elephant Ear" during refrigerated storage". 2. English used for the manuscript should be improved to meet the journal standard. Instead of using lengthy sentences, construct small and meaningful sentences. 3. Conclusion spelling was corrected in the manuscript. Conclusion section was corrected grammatically. 4. The numerals should be corrected. For example, 0,65 to 1,01 should be changed to 0.65 to 1.01 'Comma' should be removed and 'Dot' should be added instead. The numerical in tables and figures should be corrected. They were highlighted in the manuscript PDF. For example, $y = -0,20 + 0,364x$ ($R^2 = 0,9567$) should be corrected $Y = 0.20 + 0.364x$ ($R^2 = 0.9567$). 'Comma' should be removed and 'Dot' should be added instead. 5. Scientific names should be italicized or underlined where ever they appear in the text. In the reference 9 and 14 the scientific names should be italicized, they were highlighted in red ink.	1. Title has been modified 2. Corrections were made by an English teacher on the grammar, spelling and punctuation errors. Inconsistent writing style. Unclear sentence structure. Overused words. Inactive vocabulary. Wordiness. Hedging language. 3. Spelling corrected 4. The numerals were corrected: 0,65 to 1,01 were changed to 0.65 to 1.01. The numeric of the tables and figures have been corrected. 5. Scientific names are in italics.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Does not exist