



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44243
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro effect of essential oil of peppermint (Mentha x piperita L.) on the mycelial growth of Alternaria alternata
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
- Put the statistical formula in the regression equations (ex. equation figure 1: $0.3873 - 0.2139x + 0.0451x^2$) * and ** = significance, this needs to be placed; - Review the biological behavior of these data from Figures 2 and 3. This does not appear to have quadratic but linear behavior. Review this in the statistic and in the biological behavior of the data; - Describe the results according to the derivation of the equation, this was not accomplished as I suggested in the first review; - When doing a regression analysis is to obtain the maximum and minimum values and not to use the average data of the doses used. This is done when there is no significance and / or when working with qualitative data; - Use the average data in the figures instead of using the observed data. I reevaluated the article and noticed that the authors made most of the suggestions that I made on the first evaluation. However, there are still errors in the description of the results and in the figures. I leave to the editorial team the decision to accept or not this paper.	- We accept the suggestions made by the said reviewer. We added the significance in the regression equations in Figures 2 and 3; - The biological behavior in the statistics was reviewed. We use linear behavior to describe them in the results; - The results were described according to the derivation of the regression; - As suggested by the reviewer, the results were described using the minimum and maximum inhibition values and not the averages inhibition values per treatment; - As suggested by the reviewer, the figures 2 and 3 has been redone and we use the average data instead of using the observed data. We greatly appreciate all the suggestions and comments made by the reviewer. We are sure that after the suggested corrections our work has raised its quality. We hope we have been able to make all the corrections appropriately to that suggested in this final version of the review. Our sincere regards!