



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43891
Title of the Manuscript:	Individual and competitive adsorption of copper, zinc and lead in soils with contrasting texture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- Figure of experimental site can be added. - Statistical analyzes were not described. A section on statistical analysis should be added. - Standard deviations should be added. - Reference should be added to Experimental Part. - Equation 1 and 2 seem bad. It should be corrected. - References are out of date. The authors should update this reference list to include important related work from the recent literature (2016, 2017 and 2018)	- The Figure of experimental site was added. - It was inserted the coefficient of determination (R^2) in the table and the statistical analysis was also described in the Methodology. - Ok, some references were added to Experimental part. It was a fault. - Eq 1 was rewritten in the non-linear expression. Equation 2 is presented in the non-linear expression of Freundlich isotherm model. - Ok, it was inserted recent literature in the Result and Discussion section.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	