



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43888
Title of the Manuscript:	New substrates based on decomposed babassu stem in the production of melon seedlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Lines 9-11 in the abstract is not clear Patients in the last line of the abstract seems misplaced Correct grammar throughout the manuscript. Consider using a software such as Grammarly e.g. Line 14 representativeness should be replaced with representation Italicise et al., throughout the document e.g. line 16 Line 28-30; 31-33; 56-59; 113-115; 140-143; 144-146: Long sentence consider splitting into two. Line 34: Has should start with small letters since it is part of the sentence Lines 57-59; line 75: Write claykg ⁻¹ properly	Thank you for your valuable suggestions. Your suggestions have been considered. We corrected the article.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		