



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43836
Title of the Manuscript:	Productivity of Sweet Maize on Spikes under Previous Crops and Cropping Systems in the Coastal Tablelands of Sergipe Brazilian Northeast
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is based on field experiment. Title and abstract are appropriate to the study. Sufficient information and justification are provided in the introduction with defined problem and objective. Appropriate methods and procedures were followed. Results are presented interpreted nicely. Discussion is scientific with supporting references. Conclusion is sound with good practical applicability. References need revision as per guidelines of the journal.	All corrections were made
Minor REVISION comments	Indicated in the manuscript.	All corrections were made
Optional/General comments	When cropping systems are studied, total productivity and profitability should be evaluated.	All corrections were made

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>