



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43824
Title of the Manuscript:	Biometric Characterization and Physiological Quality of Seeds of <i>Hymenaea courbaril</i> L.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. Abstract-Aim 2. Abstract- Place and Duration of the study-Parana, can include country name, January 2018 to July 2018 3. Abstract-Results- 2 line-delete Thus possible....use 4. Abstract-Conclusion-2 line-delete when available in sufficient quantity 5. Keywords-Can include <i>Hymenaea courbaril</i> 6. Introduction-20 line- delete the example we have the 7. Introduction-31,32 line-delete as well as for the selection of/and 8. Introduction-46 line-research work 9. Materials and Methods-103 line- aerial part (g) was done. 10. Results and Discussion-161,166 line-delete for (the seeds) 11. Conclusion-265 line- in addition, it is recommended that, when available in sufficient quantity 12. References-276 line-119. 13. References-288 line-590p. 14. References-297 line-97p. 15. References- can include more recent 2018 year references.	Thank you very much for your consideration, it was very important to improve the work. We corrected the best possible. Thank you!
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	