SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_43559**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Endophytic fungi associated with the crown-of-friar cactus (Melocactus zehntneri Britton & Rose) in the semiarid region of Brazil**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Articles**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Review the rules of General Guideline for Authors of this journal again.**	
Minor REVISION comments	They are not localized references Pag 5. Alfenas AC. Isolation of phytopathogenic fungi. In: Alfenas AC, Mafia RG, editors. Methods in(2016) Pag 5. Barbosa SR, Cavalcanti VALB, Lopes EB, Araújo E. Forage palm diseases, In: Lopes (DOES´T HAVE DATE) References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Review the section of literature cited again, in order to adapt the format requested by this journal.	Corrections entered in this section.
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	