SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_43559**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Endophytic fungi associated with the crown-of-friar cactus (Melocactus zehntneri Britton & Rose) in the semiarid region of Brazil**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The caatinga biome is quite unknown and articles that bring data about its flora and the diseases that attack this flora is very important. However, this article could have a bigger introduction talking about the importance of the crown-of-friar cactus for animal feed in this region. in the material and methods other than microscopy could be better explained. How the sheets were made, what kind of treatment was done to visualize fungi, etc.** **A revision is needed that values the crown's importance in the Caatinga biome. Also, the material and methods are more detailed.**	Corrections for the introduction were inserted in the third paragraph of this section. In the material and methods the suggested modifications were inserted throughout the second, third and fourth paragraphs.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	