



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43558
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTION COMPONENTS OF THE COWPEA UNDER DIFFERENT DOSES OF ORGANIC FERTILIZER
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Evaluate the effect of organic fertiliser on the biometric parameters of the cowpea that reflect the productivity of bean plants in order to improve the commercial quality of the beans. The manuscript is well written, has important applicable message, and should be of great interest to the readers. However, the results are not well presented and the statistical analysis would probably need to improved.	I agree with reviewer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall, it is an important study, and should be considered for publication in JEAI, Once the authors could make deep discussion with few more experiments	I agree with reviewer.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	