



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43350
Title of the Manuscript:	Histological analyzes reveal that isodiametric cells with cell division and starch reserve are promising in the culture of cell suspensions of coffee.
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	1.Title- Histological analyzes...in Coffee arabica cell suspension culture 2.Abstract- 8 line- Aim-To describe 3. Abstract- Methodology-10 line- During 28 years of culture period, samples of cell culture were collected at four days interval 4. Abstract- Methodology-12 line- delete At each collection 5. Abstract-Place and Duration of Study- can include month of experiment 6.Keywords- can include <i>Coffee arabica</i> 7.Introduction-51 line-delete this manner of culture 8. Introduction-67 line-purposes/application 9.Materials and Methods-89 line-Can include age of explants 10.Materials and Methods-replace MS/2 by half MS 11. Materials and Methods-118 line- collection/treatment 12.Results- 185 line-explain material 13.Results-193 line-delete however 14.Results-Figure 5-222 line- 4th 15.Results-236 line-delete that is 16.Discussion-243 line-plant/crop, delete as well...same species 17.Discussion-245 line- authors/ researchers 18.Discussion-246 line-delete that this species 19.Discussion-248 line- and thus 20. Discussion-263 line-can write Genus <i>C.canephora</i> 21. Discussion-265 line-Scientific name of inga tree 22. Discussion-275 line-explain GRR,GR 23. Discussion-311 line-delete plant 24.Conclusion-353 line-Conclusion 25. Conclusion-363 line-system of <i>Coffee arabica</i> 26.References-440 line-Verdiel JL, details et al 27.References- can include 2018 year references	corrections made
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is well written. Appreciate the author/s for the excellent work.	The authors are grateful for the review and acceptance of the manuscript.