



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43230
Title of the Manuscript:	Phosphorus uptake and use efficiency in Brazilian soybean cultivars
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	See specific areas to be corrected in the PDF manuscript	The suggestions made in the PDF file have been accepted. Regarding the suggestion of line 242, I agree that all data should be in a single table, but I could not fix it in 'Word' text editor. However, I believe that during the paper edition they will be able to fix it.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a good work which has contributed to the body of knowledge. The article is worthy for publication in the journal	Many thanks for your contribution.