



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43091
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic performance of four cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) varieties under different inter-row spacings in Buea, Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is based on field trials. Nicely prepared having good practical utility. Title and Abstract are appropriate. Introduction covers sufficient background information having defined problem and objectives. Appropriate methods and procedures were followed, however needs additional information as indicated in the manuscript. Results are nicely presented and discussed scientifically and supported by available evidences. Conclusion is sound having good practical importance. References need to be revised as per style and format of the journal.	The additional information requested has been provided. References updated
Minor REVISION comments	Indicated in the manuscript	Minor revisions indicated on manuscript have been corrected
Optional/General comments	Punctuations need attention.	This has been done and highlighted in yellow

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>