



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43066
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of insecticide toxicity in adults of the fruit flies <i>Anastrepha fraterculus</i> (Wied.) and <i>Anastrepha grandis</i> (Macquart) (Tephritidae)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The underlying reasons for the observations were 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 240, 360 and 21 hours. the observation time lag is very long, there is the possibility that there is still a missed observation. 2. The types of insecticides used in the study are contact and systemic toxins. it will be clear the difference in insect mortality due to different ways of working. it is necessary to explain in such a test what kind of results	1. Adults do not feed at night. We need to evaluate 24 hours after exposure, due to the mode of action of some compounds tested. 2. We've added three paragraphes on this topic.
Minor REVISION comments	in the article there is a table but no table is presented (table 1,2,3) and figure 1 also does not exist.	On the original version was included all tables and figure. Probably occurred any problem during the revision.
Optional/General comments	Need improvement of article writing especially tables and figures that do not exist	Does not applied.