



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42742
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethanol Production From Juice And Bagasse For Five Sweet Sorghum Cultivars During Soft Dough Grain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors have to rewrite the paper to bring clarity and resubmit. The following are some of the comments 1. Crops were grown in the year 2011, nearly 7 years old. It is not clear as to why older data has been taken up for publication 2. Reasons for the selection of the yeast <i>Dekkere bruxellensis</i> has not been highlighted in the text 3. Design of experiments for screening and optimization in the form of tables, with levels (coded as well as uncoded) and responses, should be provided. 4. Provide statistics of variations ($\pm$) for data is missing in the Table 1 5. Defining equations for kinetic parameters of Table 2 are missing 6. As per line 122, Xylanases, CMC and avicelases activities were quantified, but data is missing	1. The authors agree whit the question of the reviewer but we believe the data is interesting to publish, regardless of time. 2. The authors agree whit the suggestion of the reviewer and carried it out in the work. Line 50-56. 3. Ours assays of fermentation and pretreatment were carried out in in a randomized design experiment and does not require code and levels. 4. The authors disagree whit the suggestion of the reviewer because table 1 provide statics analysis. 5. The equations for kinetic parameters were describe in Equations 1 and 2, lines 108-109. 6. The only dates of FPase, xylanases, CMC, β-glucosidase, and avicelases activities were describe in lines 122 to 124.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		