



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42718
Title of the Manuscript:	Agricultural wastes as alternative substrates in the production of beet seedlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	None	Ok
Minor REVISION comments	1) Change the title to read as follows: Optimum substitution of commercial substrate with moinha as an alternative agricultural waste substrate in the production of beet seedlings. 2) Table 1 should include the chemical composition of the commercial substrate 3) Literature review should be updated to the current 2017 and 2018 since these are missing 4) Recommendations are missing.	Done Done Done
Optional/General comments	Explain why 10 replicates were used?	In this experiment 10 replicates were used due to the ease of evaluation with this number of plots and also to increase the degrees of freedom of the residue in the regression analysis.