



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42668
Title of the Manuscript:	The status of microelements in the selected ERPP irrigation schemes in Unguja and Pemba Islands, Zanzibar
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comments (if agreed with reviewer, correct <i>the manuscript</i> and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	❖ Abstract should be written according to the format of the journal ❖ Language problem in introduction ❖ Comparison of various in practice irrigation systems in introduction or discussion is missing ❖ Material and methods need improvements ❖ Results and discussions should be separate one, because by merger author could not express the logics ❖ Excessive references added	❖ Abstract has been formatted ❖ We have tried to do some language editing ❖ We have focussed on fertility status only ❖ Materials and methods have been improved ❖ The section has been improved in terms of logic and we find there is no need to separate the two ❖ We have tried to minimize the references as suggested
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	❖ It can be accepted for publications but needs major revision - On the manuscript required suggestions are in track change	❖ Whatever suggestion in track changes in MS has been acted upon