



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42551
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of rice Resistant lines to Rice Blast from Rice Germplasm under Silicon amendment in Uganda
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	It requires minor revision in the areas pointed out in the Reviewer's comment. Study Area Line 3 The correct abbreviation of National Crop Resources Research Institute is NCRRRI not NaCRRRI Line 7.....with silicon at rates of 0g, 29g and 58g Materials and Methods Inoculum Preparation and Inoculation Line 6.....tween twenty....should be removed Results Table 2: The source of variation degree of freedom should be made to align.	NaCRRRI is the standard abbreviation line 7 corrected line 6 corrected Table 2 aligned + NaCRRRI is the correct one - correct - = deleted - = Tables aligned
Optional/General comments	The research is original and workers in other field of Agriculture will find it very useful.	