SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_42505**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Efficiency of nitrogen utilization by corn cultivars for the production of green ears**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Lines 45 – 46, The unit of measurements for P, K, Ca, Al and H + Al are in mgdm^{-3}. Instead of mgKg^{-1}. Why is it like that, after all the samples are soil and plant parts? Please check and give the correct unit. The unit of Ca, Al and H + Al should preferably be converted to mgkg^{-1} to ensure uniformity.**	The analyzes are of soil. The units were standardized for the international system.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 34, study "is to" instead of study "was". Line 64, by "ploughing" instead of "plowing" Line 77, J.E. Smith should be deleted. Lines 220 – 227, 229 – 234, 213 – 248, should all be translated to English Language. All references should be translated to English Language. The labels aA, aB, cA, bA as presented in Table 1, then a,b, as is seen in Tables 2,3 and 4 are not define. Please define them for clarity.	Suggestion accepted. Suggestion accepted. Suggestion accepted. For Articles not in English (guideline of authors) **Example:** Forneau E, Bovet D. Recherches sur l'action sympathicolytique d'un nouveau dérivé du dioxane. Arch Int Pharmacodyn. 1933;46:178-91. French. Corrections made.
Optional/General comments		