



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42505
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficiency of nitrogen utilization by corn cultivars for the production of green ears
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title suggested: Study of nitrogen efficiency using three corn cultivars on green ears production. Have the grammar checked by a native English speaker. Use either the word maize or corn, not both. Line 23: re-write to: it is one of the most influent factors on ... Line 28: re-write to: genotypes that are able to.. Line 40: ..conducted at a garden in the Plant Science department....in Brazil.. Line 43: A soil analysis was performed from samples taken at a... Line 59: add: the ones that are commonly used... Line 76: active ingredient for those commercial products? Line 82:change the word evaluated for "measured". On your Conclusions: Word order for: Based on...., Cultivar AG 1051 was more effective...	Title suggestions accepted because, it best describes the article. It was standardized in the text the use of corn. Suggestion accepted. Suggestion accepted. Suggestion accepted. Suggestion accepted. The active ingredients were added in the text Suggestion accepted Suggestion accepted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		