



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42141
Title of the Manuscript:	Do Flood Hazards affect Risk Attitudes? An Experimental Analysis in Agriculture-dependent Communities in Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title clearly describes the contents of the paper and the abstract indicates the objectives, and the main results. This is an interesting paper which is within the scope of JEAI. For me, the writing is generally clear and the discussion is logical and easy to follow Besides some language and formal issues: - The manuscript is not well fitted in the current research. The used literature is outdated. - The introduction should include testable hypothesis. - Please explain how this study advances our knowledge in this domain. - Specify number of participants, questionnaires.	- The manuscript is not well fitted in the current research. Comment: The introduction has been adjusted to re-focus the manuscript towards its relevance for agriculture - The used literature is outdated. Comment: There was a need to base the work on the fundamental literature on risk experiments, which unfortunately is fairly "outdated" according to the reviewer. This probably explains while "old" literature was referred to. In any case, more recent literature has been included (see references No. 14 (2018); 17(2016); and 44(2018). - The introduction should include testable hypothesis. Comment: Two testable hypotheses have been included. For convenience, they appear in the subsection of Methodology, just before the research design - Please explain how this study advances our knowledge in this domain. Comment: Added in the introduction as follows: The added value of the paper lies in its contribution of new knowledge on the relationship between flood hazards and risk behavior among victims in agriculture-based communities. Such knowledge can be useful in shaping policies for re-establishing agriculture dependent livelihoods after flood hazards which are on the rise in developing countries like Cameroon. - Specify number of participants, questionnaires. Comment: done in the methodology section
Minor REVISION comments		To the extent possible, all the suggested comments have been addressed.
Optional/General comments		The author is extremely grateful to the reviewer for the insightful comments