



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41899
Title of the Manuscript:	Pecan: from research to the Brazilian reality
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. The review is complete and compare the pecan production with other countries. The reference is complete. However, is necessary add some subjects such as: 1. To compare chilling hours between areas where the pecan is planted in Brazil 2. To Explain effects of Hydrogen Cyanamide on the budbreak in Pecan or the effect of others chemicals. 3. Write about viviparity phenomenon. 4. Write about mechanic pruning in adult orchard of pecan	Dear, Please be advised that we agree with the reviewer's suggestion and add all the suggested topics in the original text (highlighted in yellow). Thank you in advance for your suggestions and contribution to the work, being available for further clarification.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		