



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41817
Title of the Manuscript:	SPATIAL VARIABILITY OF DEVIATION FROM OPTIMUM PERCENTAGE (DOP) IN CONILON COFFEE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) (Abstract) Please delete "the use of deviation from optimum percentage just start "The objective of this work was to identify..." 2) (Keywords) Please add "SPATIAL VARIABILITY" 3) (Introduction) Please delete the ARIAL BOLD FONT11 4) Line 313-315, please reference in this detail.	All suggestions accepted "This lack of correlation may be due to the genotype being the Robusta Tropical with seed propagation, which may differ according to the reference values used from clonal genotype crops." The phrase was written by me, based on the differentiated knowledge of a variety without seminal coffee is much larger than in the clonal coffee. so I think there is no need to put reference.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		