



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41811
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological quality of Cucumis melo seeds under saline stress and application of salicylic acid
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- The introduction is too short, it needs to be improved. -Table1: we must add the statistical data for the germination of the two species. - It is important to add more recent bibliographical references in the introduction and discussion. - There are other forms to express the results of germination (graphs). - The conclusion is very short.	- The introduction and completion have been expanded upon request. - Statistical analyzes for the effect of salicylic acid were performed, however, did not present significant effect ($P < 0.05$). Thus, statistically, regression analysis cannot be performed as it was done for salinity. Therefore, we only present your average data. - The graphs were used only when there was a significant effect ($P < 0.05$) and could not be applied for non-significant effects ($P > 0.05$).
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	