



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41811
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological quality of Cucumis melo seeds under saline stress and application of salicylic acid
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction is too scanty. Please review more on the introduction. The conclusion did not portray the motive of the research work, because you wanted to show the alleviating effect of salicylic acid on cucumis melo under salt stressed.	- The introduction and conclusion were amplified and corrected. Salicylic acid has no salinity mitigation effect (results presented) and this was highlighted in the conclusion.
Minor REVISION comments	Use "salinity stress" instead of saline stress. Under methodology in abstract section, you need to show where you obtained the seeds. The duration of the study was not mentioned.	- Correction of terms was performed. - The location was specified in the abstract. - The experiment period was specified.
Optional/General comments	Make sure to be coherent in your writing styles.	- Grammatical correction of the entire text was performed.