SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_41533**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EFFECT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON SOIL FERTILITY AND PRODUCTIVITY ON WHEAT CROP**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. It has scientific quality, good support statistical, the parameters evaluated are adequate. Is very important that this experiment was done during two consecutive years. However, during the revision were found little errors, which must be corrected**. **Title:** Is ok **Abstract:** Line 8. Add country and write what means UP, and RDF. Line 9. To correct Spike^{-1} Line 13. To describe T2 and T11 Line 18. Write what means VC and PSB. **Introduction:** Line 33. Write what means INM Introduction is brief, is necessary increase information about effects of application of integrates nutrient on soil on wheat production. Is important to write the objective of this research. **Materials and methods:** Line 56. Write what means CRC and add country. Add longitude, latitude and altitude of the place. Write the main agronomic characteristics of PBW wheat cultivar. Line 80. To correct Olsen et al., 1954 no Olsen's, 1965. **Results and discussion:** Are ok. The tables are clear and the description is clear, only to correct. Line 102. Write m^2 Line 149. To correct write Singh et al., 2001 not Singh and Singh **Conclusion:** Is lacking inside manuscript, is necessary. **References:** To uniform the reference list in accordance with rules of this journal. Reference Kumar et al., 1999 is lacking inside reference list.	Noted.
Minor REVISION comments		

Optional/General comments		