



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41505
Title of the Manuscript:	Amaranthus palmeri and Salsola kali Control and Peanut Variety Tolerance when Using Pyroxasulfone in the Texas High Plains
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Study importance and background is need to improve. 2. Treatments combinations are not clear from current M&M section. 3. Data collection procedure not mention properly 4. Results presentation is not adequate. 5. Discussion is mostly not related to the study	1. Specific areas have been addressed. Limited information is available on the use of this herbicide, especially in peanut. 2. Combinations were not discussed in the M&M section due to the number and various application timings used. Thought it would be best to discuss in the Results section when discussing control and/or peanut tolerance. 3. Discussed in Section 2.4 4/5. Limited information is available on the use of this herbicide especially in peanut; therefore, discussion is limited.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		