



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41408
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATING OF SEDIMENT DELIVERY RATIO ON SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL VARIABILITIES IN SEMIARID WATERSHED BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Why not the reference does numbers start with [1] from the introduction? It gives a loss reference. So I think that there is a missing references number in the section [e.g. 13 missing]. In the abstract, it should be given the opening of USDH - 48 and USBLH - 84 (e.g. What does USBLH - 84 mean?)	Thanks for the corrections. The references starting with [1] have already been provided in manuscript. Insert in abstract: US DH-48 for collection of suspended sediment samples and US BLH-84 used to collect samples bed load
Optional/General comments	The method of the research is detailed. This is very good...	Thank you.