



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41377
Title of the Manuscript:	Nursery water supply and biomass accumulation relationships in super sweet maize (sh2)
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper, its good write. But, a sugest this paper for publication, its need more review. This papers more actually this assunt have been ths publication. This M&M its good, but describe more how to conduced this experiment.	OK. Paper has been reviewed Materials and Methods included all aspects needed to reproduce it.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		