



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41335
Title of the Manuscript:	Tannic Potential of Bark from Forest Species from South Piauí
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper has contributive results and new findings for the species.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I suggested a sentence for INTRODUCTION at page 1 together with a reference part. They should be certainly used in revised version of the paper to improve the manus.	The phrase was inserted into the text, improving the content of the manuscript, with new and current data
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<u>I recommend that present version of the manus should be published after minor revision.</u> Present Table and References should be kept in the text.	The text has been revised and the tables and references have been retained as suggested.