



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41335
Title of the Manuscript:	Tannic Potential of Bark from Forest Species from South Piauí
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Thematic relevance and very relevant originality Good contribution to the progress of the discipline Good consistency and structure of objective setting Evaluation of the use and updating of bibliographic sources: good bibliographical support with current references When there are two or more references at the end of a paragraph, they are presented in a single parenthesis: [6], [7] and [8]. = [6, 7, 8]. Sometimes the word "hours" is used and sometimes the symbol of the international system of units, it must be presented uniformly throughout the document: hours or h In table 1 it is not necessary to write: h = hours, min = minutes because they are international units There is inconsistency in presenting the scientific name of: <i>H. courbaril</i> <i>H. courbaril</i> <i>Hymenea courbaril</i> <i>Hymenea courbaril</i> Genus and species are written in italics I suggest that the first time it appears in the text <i>Hymenea courbaril</i> is written between parenthesis (<i>H. courbaril</i>) and in the subsequent only write <i>H. courbaril</i>	References cited with more than three authors in the text were corrected at the suggestion of the journal's brokers. Terms to determine hours and minutes have been corrected throughout the text, improving your understanding. The correction of the incosistence of the scientific names of the species studied were organized according to the suggestion of the journal.
Optional/General comments	Please revise this paper according to my suggestion.	The article has been corrected and adapted according to the suggested suggestions.