

Editor's comment :

I had gone through the details of the manuscript you had sent for my editorial decision. After going through the manuscript I felt that the manuscript requires some revision before it could be accepted for publication. I had attached herewith my comments and the annotated manuscript for your perusal and further action.

Manuscript title: Physiological quality of rice seeds stored in different environments and packages

This revised manuscript by Silva et al., has taken into consideration the suggestions raised by the reviewers in the first round of review. After going through the manuscript, I found that there are some details missing in the methods section and the manuscript as such is too verbose. I had marked my comments on the annotated manuscript directly which is attached herewith. On the whole the presentation has to be improved as many parts are hard to follow. I had made some changes in this line but there are a lot more. The authors should avoid using terms that are not English as many readers will not be familiar with these terms. There are some missing references in the list and even that are listed are erroneous in title, journal name, etc.

Author's feedback :

All suggested changes were followed and all questions of comments were answered.